12. ¹THE ALL INDIA SERVICES (DISCIPLINE AND APPEAL) RULES, 1969

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (I) of Section 3 of the All India Services Act, 1951 (61 of 1951), the Central Government, after consultation with the Governments of the States concerned, hereby makes the following rules, namely:—

1. Short title and commencement.—

- 1(1) These rules may be called the All India Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1969.
- 1(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.
- 2. **Definitions**.— In these rules, unless the context otherwise requires:—
 - 2(a) 'Commission' means the Union Public Service Commission;
 - 2(b) 'disciplinary authority' means the authority competent under these rules to impose on a member of the service any of the penalties specified in rule 6;
 - ²2(c) 'Government' means—
 - (i) in the case of a member of the Service serving in connection with the affairs of a State, or who is deputed for service in any company, association or body of individuals whether incorporated or not, which is wholly or substantially owned or controlled by the Government of a State, or in a local authority set up by an Act of the Legislature of a State, the Government of that State;
 - (ii) in any other case, the Central Government;
 - ³2(d) member of the service means a member of an All India Service as defined in Section 2 of the All India Services Act, 1951 (61 of 1951).
 - ⁴(dd) 'Probationer' means a person appointed to the Service on probation;
 - 2(e) 'State Government concerned' in relation to a joint cadre, means the Government of all the States for which the joint cadre is constituted and includes the Government of a State nominated by the Government of all such States to represent them in relation to a particular matter.

PART II—SUSPENSION

3. Suspension.—

(1) If, having regard to the circumstances in any case and, where articles of charge have been drawn up, the nature of the charges, the Government of a State or the Central Government, as the case may be, is satisfied that it is necessary or desirable to place under suspension a member of the Service,

¹ Principal rules were published vide Notification No. 7/15/63-AIS-II dt. 20.3.1969

² Substituted vide DP&AR Notification No. 6/9/72-AIS-III dt. 5.7.1975 (GSR No. 872, dt. 19.7.1975)

³ Substituted vide DP&AR Notification No. 31/7/72-AIS-III dt. 22.5.1972.

⁴ Inserted vide DP&AR Notification No. 11018/4/76-AIS(III), dt. 25.2.1977 (GSR No. 358 dt. 19.3.1977)

against whom disciplinary proceedings are contemplated or are pending, that Government may—

- (a) if the member of the Service is serving under that Government, pass an order placing him under suspension, or
- (b) if the member of the Service is serving under another Government request that Government to place him under suspension, pending the conclusion of the disciplinary proceedings and the passing of the final order in the case.
- ⁵(c) IAS officers working under Central Government shall only be suspended on the recommendations of the Central Review Committee as amended with the approval of Minister-in-charge, Department of Personnel & Training.

Provided that, in cases, where there is a difference of opinion,—

- (i) between two State Governments, the matter shall be referred to the Central Government for its decision;
- (ii) between a State Government and the Central Government, the opinion of the Central Government shall prevail:

⁶Provided further that the Chief Secretary, Director General of Police and the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, who are the heads of the respective Services, shall not be placed under suspension without obtaining prior approval of the Central Government:.

⁷Provided also that, where a State Government passes an order placing under suspension a member of the Service against whom disciplinary proceedings are contemplated, such an order shall not be valid unless, before the expiry of a period of ⁸thirty days from the date from which the member is placed under suspension, or such further period not exceeding ⁹thirty days as may be specified by the Central Government for reasons to be recorded in writing, either disciplinary proceedings are initiated against him or the order of suspension is confirmed by the Central Government.

¹⁰(1A) If the Government of a State or the Central Government, as the case may be, is of the opinion that a member of the Service has engaged himself in activities prejudicial to the interests of the security of the State, that Government may—

- (a) if the member of the Service is serving under that Government, pass an order placing him under suspension, or
- (b) if the member of the Service is serving under another Government, request that Government to place him under suspension, till the passing of the final order in the case:

⁵ Inserted vide Notification No. 11018/1/2013-AIS-III, dt. 21.12.2015 (GSR No. 1001(E) dt. 23.12.2015)

⁶ Substituted vide Notification No. 11018/3/97-AIS-III, dt. 13.7.1998 (GSR No. 130 dt. 25.7.1998) and again substituted vide Notification No.11018/3/2004-AIS-III dt.30.09.2009 (GSR No.714(E) dt. 30.09.2009)

⁷ Substituted vide Notification No.11018/3/2004-AIS-III dt.30.09.2009 (GSR No.714(E) dt. 30.09.2009)

⁸ Substituted vide Notification No. 11018/1/2013-AIS-III, dt. 21.12.2015 (GSR No. 1001(E) dt. 23.12.2015)

⁹ Substituted vide Notification No. 11018/1/2013-AIS-III, dt. 21.12.2015 (GSR No. 1001(E) dt. 23.12.2015)

¹⁰ Deleted/inserted/substituted vide DP&AR Notification No. 6/9/72-AIS-III, dt. 5.7.1975 (GSR No. 872,dt. 19.7.1975)

Provided that, in cases, where there is a difference of opinion—

- (i) between two State Governments, the matter shall be referred to the Central Government for its decision;
- (ii) between a State Government and the Central Government, the opinion of the Central Government shall prevail.

¹¹(1B) The period of suspension of a member of the Service on charges other than corruption shall not exceed one year and the inquiry shall be completed and appropriate order shall be issued within one year from the date of suspension failing which the suspension order shall automatically stand revoked:

Provided that the suspension can be continued beyond one year only on the recommendations of the Central Ministry's Review Committee:

Provided further that the period during which the disciplinary proceedings remain stayed due to orders of a Court of Law, shall be excluded from this limit of one year.

¹²(1C) The period of suspension of a member of the Service on charges of corruption shall not exceed two years and the inquiry shall be completed and appropriate order shall be issued within two years from the date of suspension failing which the suspension order shall automatically stand revoked:

Provided that the suspension can be continued beyond two years only on the recommendations of the Central Ministry's Review Committee:

Provided further that the period during which the disciplinary proceedings remain stayed due to orders of a Court of Law, shall be excluded from this limit of two years.

- (1D) The composition and functions of the Central Ministry's Review Committee and the procedure to be followed by them shall be as specified in Schedule 2 annexed to these rules.
- (2)A member of the Service who is detained in official custody whether on a criminal charge or otherwise for a period longer than forty-eight hours, shall be deemed to have been suspended by the Government concerned under this rule.
- (3) A member of the Service in respect of, or against, whom an investigation, inquiry or trial relating to a criminal charge is pending may, at the discretion of the Government¹³ be placed under suspension until the termination of all proceedings relating to that charge, if the charge is connected with his position as a [member of the Service] or is likely to embarrass him in the discharge of his duties or involves moral turpitude.
- (4) A member of the Service shall be deemed to have been placed under suspension¹⁴ by the Government concerned with effect from the date of

¹¹ Inserted vide Notification No.11018/3/2004-AIS-III dt.30.09.2009 (GSR No.714(E) dt. 30.09.2009)

¹² Inserted vide Notification No.11018/3/2004-AIS-III dt.30.09.2009 (GSR No.714(E) dt. 30.09.2009)

¹³ Substituted vide DP&AR Notification No. 6/9/72-AIS-III, dt. 5.7.1975 (GSR No. 872 dt. 19.7.1975)

¹⁴ Inserted/substituted vide DP&AR Notification No. 6/9/73-AIS-III, dt. 26.7.1975 (GSR No. 985 dt. 9.8.1975)

conviction, if, in the event of conviction for a criminal offence, if he is not forthwith dismissed or removed or compulsorily retired consequent on such conviction provided that the conviction carries a sentence of imprisonment exceeding forty-eight hours.

¹⁵Explanation.— The period of forty-eight hours referred to in sub-rule (4) shall be commuted from the commencement of the imprisonment after the conviction and for this purpose, intermittent periods of imprisonment, if any, shall be taken into account.

- (5) Where a penalty of dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement from service imposed upon a member of the Service under suspension is set aside in appeal or on review under these rules and the case is remitted for further inquiry or action or with any other directions, the order of his suspension shall be deemed to have continued in force on and from the date of the original order of dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement and shall remain in force until further orders.
- (6) Where a penalty of dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement from service imposed upon a member of the Service is set aside or declared or rendered void in consequence of or by a decision of a Court of Law, and the disciplinary authority, on a consideration of the circumstances of the case, decides to hold further inquiry against him on the allegations on which the penalty of dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement was originally imposed, the member of the Service shall be deemed to have been placed under suspension by the Central Government from the date of original order of dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement and shall continue to remain under suspension until further orders:

¹⁶Provided that no such further inquiry shall be ordered unless it is intended to meet a situation where the court has passed an order purely on technical grounds without going into the merits of the case.

- ¹⁷(6A) Where an order of suspension is made, or deemed to have been made, by the Government of a State under this rule, detailed report of the case shall be forwarded to the Central Government ¹⁸[] within a period of fifteen days of the date on which the member of the Service is suspended or is deemed to have been suspended, as the case may be.
- (7) (a) An order of suspension made or deemed to have been made under this rule shall continue to remain in force until it is modified or revoked by the authority competent to do so.
 - Where a member of the Service is suspended or is deemed to have been suspended, whether in connection with any disciplinary proceeding or otherwise, and any other disciplinary proceeding is commenced against him during the continuance of that suspension, the authority competent to place him under suspension may, for reasons to be recorded in writing, direct that the member of Service shall continue to be under suspension subject to sub-rule (8).

¹⁵ Inserted vide DP&AR Notification No. 11018/6/78-AIS-III, dt. 16.11.1978 (GSR No. 1415 dt. 2.12.1978)

¹⁶ Inserted vide DP&AR Notification No. 11018/18/81-AIS-III, dt. 3.8.1983 (GSR No. 612, dt.20.8.1983)

¹⁷ Inserted vide DP&AR Notification No. 6/9/72-AIS-III, dt. 5.7.1975 (GSR No. 872 dt. 19.7.1975)

¹⁸ Omitted vide Notification No. 11018/1/2013-AIS-III, dt. 21.12.2015 (GSR No. 1001(E) dt. 23.12.2015)

¹⁹ Substituted vide DOP&T Notification no. 11018/3/97-AIS-III, dt. 13.7.1998 (GSR No. 130 dt. 25.7.1998)

- (c) An order of suspension made or deemed to have been made under this rule may at any time be modified or revoked by the authority which made or deemed to have made the order.
- ²⁰(8) (a) An order of suspension made under this rule which has not been extended shall be valid for a period not exceeding ²¹sixty days and an order of suspension which has been extended shall remain valid for a further period not exceeding ²²one hundred-twenty days, at a time, unless revoked earlier.
 - (b) An order of suspension made or deemed to have been made or continued shall be reviewed by the competent authority on the recommendations of the concerned Review Committee.
 - (c) The composition and functions of the Review Committees and the procedure to be followed by them shall be as specified in the ²³Schedule 1 annexed to these rules.
 - (d) The period of suspension ²⁴[under this rule] may, on the recommendations of the concerned Review Committee, be extended for a further period not exceeding one hundred and eighty days at a time:

Provided that where no order has been passed under this clause, the order of suspension shall stand revoked with effect from the date of expiry of the order being reviewed.

- ²⁵(9) (a) Every order of suspension and every order of revocation shall be made in the stipulated standard form appended to these rules;
 - (b) A copy of the order shall be endorsed to the Appointing Authority, if the order is made by some other authority; and to the Lending Authority in the case of borrowed officer;
 - (c) The reasons for issue of every such order shall be communicated to the Appointing Authority and the Lending Authority, through confidential letters alongwith the order itself; and
 - (d) A copy of the suspension order alongwith the reasons or grounds of suspension shall be communicated to the Cadre Controlling authority in the Central Government not later than forty-eighty hours.
- ²⁶(10) As soon as a member of the Service is placed under suspension or is deemed to have been placed under suspension, the information in this regard shall be communicated to Government of India expeditiously and within the period of forty-eighty hours.

²⁰ Inserted vide DOP&T Notification No. 11018/3/97-AIS-III dt. 13.7.1998 (GSR No. 130 dt. 25.7.1998

 $^{^{21}}$ Substituted vide Notification No. 11018/1/2013-AIS-III, dt. 21.12.2015 (GSR No. 1001(E) dt. 23.12.2015)

²² Substituted vide Notification No. 11018/1/2013-AIS-III, dt. 21.12.2015 (GSR No. 1001(E) dt. 23.12.2015)

²³ Inserted vide Notification No.11018/3/2004-AIS-III dt.30.09.2009 (GSR No.714(E) dt. 30.09.2009)

²⁴ Inserted vide Notification No.11018/3/2004-AIS-III dt.30.09.2009 (GSR No.714(E) dt. 30.09.2009)

²⁵ Substituted vide Notification No. 11018/1/2013-AIS-III, dt. 21.12.2015 (GSR No. 1001(E) dt. 23.12.2015)

²⁶ Inserted vide Notification No. 11018/1/2013-AIS-III, dt. 21.12.2015 (GSR No. 1001(E) dt. 23.12.2015)

4. Subsistence allowance during suspension—

- ²⁷(1) A member of the Service under suspension or deemed to have been placed under suspension by the Government concerned shall be entitled to receive from that Government:
 - a subsistence allowance at an amount equal to the leave salary which a member of the Service would have drawn if he had been on leave on half-average pay or on half pay and in addition, dearness allowance, if admissible on the basis of such leave salary:

Provided that where the period of suspension exceeds ²⁸three months, the authority which made or is deemed to have made the order of suspension shall be competent to vary the amount of subsistence allowance for any period subsequent to the period of the first three months as follows:

- the amount of subsistence allowance may be increased by a suitable amount, not exceeding 50 per cent of the subsistence allowance admissible during the period of the first three months, if, in the opinion of the said authority, the period of suspensions has been prolonged for reasons, to be recorded in writing, not directly attributable to the member of the Service:
- the amount of the subsistence allowance may be reduced by a suitable amount, not exceeding 50 per cent of the subsistence allowance admissible during the period of the first three months, if in the opinion of the said authority, the period of suspension has been prolonged for reasons, to be recorded in writing directly attributable to a member of the Service:
- the rate of the dearness allowance will be based on the increased or, as the case may be, the reduced amount of subsistence allowance admissible under sub-clause (i) or sub-clause (ii) above.
- any other compensatory allowance admissible from time to time on the basis of pay of which a member of the Service was in receipt on the date of suspension, subject to the fulfilment of other conditions laid down for the drawal of such allowance.
- (2) No member of the Service shall be entitled to receive payment under subrule (1) unless he furnishes a certificate that he is not engaged in any other employment, business, profession or vocation.
- (3) The authority to grant subsistence allowance shall be the suspending authority.

Substituted vide DP&AR Notification No. 11018/11/78-AIS-III dt. 16.6.1979
 Substituted vide DP&AR Notification no. 28013/2/78-AIS-III dt. 12.1.1982 (GSR No. 92 dt. 30.1.1982)

- ²⁹5. Admissibility of pay and allowances and treatment of service on reinstatement after dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement as a result of appeal or review.—
 - (1) When a member of the Service, who has been dismissed, removed or compulsorily retired is reinstated as a result of appeal or review or would have been so reinstated but for his retirement under the All India Services (Death-cum-Retirement Benefits) Rules, 1958, while under suspension or not, the authority competent to order reinstatement shall consider and make a specific order—
 - (a) regarding the pay and allowances to be paid to the member of the Service for the period of his absence from duty including the suspension preceding his dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement, as the case may be; and
 - (b) whether or not the said period shall be treated as a period spent on duty.
 - (2) The member of the Service shall, subject to the provisions of sub-rule (6) be paid the full pay and allowances to which he would have been entitled, had he not been dismissed, removed or compulsorily retired or suspended prior to such dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement, as the case may be, in cases:—
 - where the authority competent to order reinstatement is of opinion that the member of the Service who had been dismissed, removed or compulsorily retired has been fully exonerated, or
 - (ii) where the order of dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement from service is set aside by the appellate or reviewing authority solely on the ground of non-compliance with the requirements of clause (1) or clause
 (2) of article 311 of the Constitution and no further inquiry is proposed to be held:

Provided that where such authority is of the opinion that the termination of the proceedings instituted against the member of the Service had been delayed due to reasons directly attributable to the member of the Service, it may, after giving him an opportunity to make his representation and after considering the representation, if any, submitted by him, direct, for reasons to be recorded in writing, that the member of the Service shall, subject to the provisions of sub-rule (7), be paid for the period of such delay, only such proportion of such pay and allowances as it may determine.

(3) In a case falling under sub-rule (2), the period of absence from duty including the period of suspension preceding dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement, as the case may be, shall be treated as a period spent on duty for all purposes.

²⁹ Substituted vide DP&AR Notification no. 28013/2/78-AIS-III dt. 12.01.1982 (GSR No. 92 dt. 30.1.1982)

- (4) In cases other than those covered by sub-rule (2) the member of the Service shall, subject to the provisions of sub-rule (6) and (7), be paid such proportion of the full pay and allowances to which he would have been entitled, had he not been dismissed, removed or compulsorily retired or suspended prior to such dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement, as the case may be, as the authority competent to order reinstatement may determine after giving notice to the member of the Service of the quantum proposed and after considering the representation, if any, submitted by him, in that connection within 60 days from the date on which the notice aforesaid is served on the member of the Service.
- (5) In a case falling under sub-rule (4), the period of absence from duty including the period of suspension preceding his dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement, as the case may be, shall not be treated as a period spent on duty, unless the authority competent to order reinstatement specifically directs that it shall be so treated for any specified purpose:
 - Provided that if the member of the Service so desires, such authority may direct that the period of absence from duty including the period of suspension preceding his dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement, as the case may be, shall be converted into leave of any kind due and admissible to the member of Service.
- (6) The payment of allowances under sub-rule (2) or sub-rule (4) shall be subject to all other conditions under which such allowances are admissible.
- (7) The portion of the full pay and allowances determined under the proviso to sub-rule (2) or under sub-rule (4) shall neither be equal to the full pay and allowances nor less than the subsistence allowance and other allowances admissible under rule 4, as the case may be.
- (8) Any payment made under this rule to a member of the Service on his reinstatement shall be subject to adjustment of the amount, if any, earned by him through an employment during the period between the date of removal, dismissal or compulsory retirement, as the case may be, and the date of reinstatement. Where the pay and allowances admissible under this rule are equal to or less than the amounts earned during the employment elsewhere, nothing shall be paid to the member of the Service.

³⁰5A. Admissibility of pay and allowances and treatment of service on reinstatement where dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement is set aside by a Court of Law.—

- 5A(1) Where the dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement of a member of the Service is set aside by a Court of Law and such member is reinstated without holding any further inquiry, the period of absence from duty shall be regularised and the member of the Service shall be paid pay and allowances in accordance with provisions of sub-rule (2) or (3) subject to the directions, if any, of the Court.
- 5A(2) (i) In cases other than those covered by sub-rule (3), the member of the service shall be paid such portion of the full-pay and allowances to

270

³⁰ Substituted vide DP&AR Notification No. 28013/2/78-AIS-III dt. 12.01.1982 (GSR No. 92 dt. 30.01.1982)

which he would have been entitled had he not been dismissed, removed or compulsorily retired, or suspended prior to such dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement, as the case may be, as the authority competent to order reinstatement may determine, after giving notice to the member of the Service of the quantum proposed and after considering the representation, if any, submitted by him, in that connection, within 60 days from the date on which the notice aforesaid is served on the member of the Service:

Provided that any payment under this sub-rule to a member of the Service shall neither be equal to the full pay and allowances nor less than the subsistence allowance and other allowances admissible under rule 4 as the case may be.

- (ii) The period intervening between the date of dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement, including the period of suspension preceding such dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement, as the case may be, and the date of judgement of the Court shall be regularised in accordance with the provisions contained in sub-rule (5) of rule 5.
- 5A(3) Where the dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement of a member of the Service is set aside by a Court on the merits of the case, or where the dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement of a member of the Service is set aside by a Court-solely on the ground of non-compliance with the requirements of clause (1) or clause (2) of article 311 of the Constitution and no further enquiry is proposed to be held, the period intervening between the date of dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement as the case may be, and the date of reinstatement shall be treated as duty for all purpose and he shall be paid full pay and allowances for the period to which he would have been entitled, had he not been dismissed, removed or compulsorily retired or suspended prior to such dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement, as the case may be.
- 5A(4) The payment of allowances under sub-rule (2) or sub-rule (3) shall be subject to all other conditions under which such allowances are admissible.
- 5A(5) Any payment made under this rule to a member of the Service on his reinstatement shall be subject to adjustment of the amount, if any, earned by him through an employment during the period between the dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement and the date of reinstatement. Where the pay and allowances admissible under this rule are equal to or less than those earned during the employment elsewhere, nothing shall be paid to the member of the Service.

³¹5B. Admissibility of pay and allowances and treatment of Service on reinstatement after suspension.—

5B(1) When a member of the Service under suspension is reinstated or would have been so reinstated but for his retirement under the All India Services (Death-cum-Retirement Benefits) Rules, 1958 while under suspension, the authority competent to order reinstatement shall consider and make a specific order—

_

³¹ Substituted vide DP&AR Notification No. 6/9/73-AIS-III dt. 26.7.1975 (GSR No. 985 dt. 9.8.1975)

- (a) regarding the pay and allowances to be paid to the member of the Service for the period of suspension ending with reinstatement or the date of his retirement on superannuating, as the case may be; and
- (b) whether or not the said period shall be treated as a period spent on duty.
- 5B(2) Notwithstanding anything contained in rule 4, where a member of the Service under suspension dies before the disciplinary or court proceedings instituted against him are concluded, the period between the date of suspension and the date of death shall be treated as duty for all purposes and his family shall be paid the full pay and allowances for that period to which he would have been entitled had he not been suspended, subject to adjustment in respect of subsistence allowance and other allowances already paid.
- 5B(3) Where the authority competent to order reinstatement is of the opinion that the suspension was wholly unjustified, the member of the Service shall, subject to the provisions of sub-rule (8), be paid the full pay and allowances to which he would have been entitled, had he not been suspended, subject to adjustment in respect of subsistence allowance and other allowances already paid:

Provided that where authority is of the opinion that the termination of the proceedings instituted against the member of the Service had been delayed due to reasons directly attributable to the member of the Service, it may, after giving him an opportunity to make his representation and after considering the representation, if any, submitted by him, direct, for reasons to be recorded in writing, that the member of the Service shall be paid for the period of such delay only such proportion of such pay and allowances as it may determine.

- 5B(4) In cases falling under sub-rule (3) the period of suspension shall be treated as a period spent on duty for all purposes.
- 5B(5) In case other than those falling under sub-rules (2) and (3), the member of the Service shall subject to the provisions of sub-rules (8) and (9) be paid such proportion of the full pay and allowances to which he would have been entitled had he not been suspected, as the authority competent to order reinstatement may determine, after giving notice to the member of the Service of the quantum proposed and after considering the representation, if any, submitted by him in that connection within ³²[Sixty days from the date on which the notice aforesaid is served on the member of the Service.]
- 5B(6) Where suspension is revoked pending finalization of the disciplinary proceeding or proceedings in a court any order passed under sub-rule (1) before the conclusion of the proceedings against the member of the Service, shall be reviewed on its own motion after the conclusion of the proceedings by the authority mentioned in sub-rule (1) who shall make an order in accordance with the provisions contained in sub-rule (3) or sub-rule (5), as the case may be.

³² Modified vide DP&AR Notification No.28013/2/78-AIS(III) dated 12.01.1982 (GSR No.92 dt.30.10.1982)

- 5B(7) In a case falling under sub-rule (5) the period of suspension shall not be treated as a period spent on duty, unless the authority competent to order reinstatement specifically directs that it shall be so treated for any specified purpose:
 - Provided that if the member of the Service so desires such authority may order that the period of suspension shall be converted into leave of any kind due and admissible to the member of the Service.
- 5B(8) The payment of allowances under sub-rule (2), sub-rule (3) or sub-rule (5) shall be subject to all other conditions under which such allowances are admissible.
- 5B(9) The proportion of the full pay and allowance determined under the proviso to sub-rule (3) or sub-rule (5) shall neither be equal to full pay and allowances nor shall it be less than the subsistence allowance and other allowances admissible under rule 4.

PART III—PENALTIES AND DISCIPLINARY AUTHORITIES.

6. Penalties.—

6(1) The following penalties may, for good and sufficient reasons and as hereinafter provided be imposed on a member of the Service, namely:—

Minor Penalties:

- (i) censure;
- (ii) withholding of promotion;
- recovery from pay of the whole, or part of any pecuniary loss caused to Government, or to a company, association or body of individuals, whether incorporated or not, which is wholly or substantially owned or controlled by Government, or to a local authority set up by an Act of Parliament or of the Legislature of a State, by negligence or breach of orders:
 - (iv) withholding of increments of pay;
- ³⁴(iv) a Reduction to a lower stage in the time scale of pay for a period not exceeding three years, without cumulative effect and not adversely affecting his pension.

Major Penalties:

- (v) reduction to a lower stage in the time scale of pay for a specified period with further directions as to whether or not the member of the Service will earn increments during the period of reduction and whether, on the expiry of such period, the reduction will or will not have the effect of postponing future increments of his pay;
- (vi) reduction to a lower time scale of pay, grade or post which shall ordinarily be a bar to promotion of the member of the Service to the time scale of pay, grade or post from which he was reduced, with or without further direction regarding conditions of restoration to the grade

³³ Substituted vide DP&AR Notification No. 6/5/74-AIS-III dt. 28.7.1975(GSR No. 988 dt. 9.9.1975)

³⁴ Inserted vide DOP&T Notification No.11018/3/98-AIS-III dated 01.06.2000 (GSR No.212. dt. 17.06.2000)

or post from which the member of the Service was reduced and his seniority and pay on such restoration to that grade or post;

(vii) compulsory retirement:

Provided that, if the circumstances of the case so warrant, the authority imposing the penalty may direct that the retirement benefits admissible to the member of the Service under the All India Services (Death-cum-Retirement Benefits) Rules, 1958, shall be paid at such reduced scale as may not be less than two-thirds of the appropriate scales indicated in Schedules 'A' and 'B' of the said rules:

- (viii) removal from Service which shall not be a disqualification for future employment under the Government;
- (ix) dismissal from Service which shall ordinarily be a disqualification for future employment under the Government.

³⁵Provided that every case in which ³⁶the charge of possession of the assets disproportionate to known sources of income or the charge of acceptance from any person of any gratification, other than legal remuneration, as a motive or reward for doing or for bearing to do any official act is established, the penalty mentioned in clause (viii) or clause (ix) shall be imposed.

Provided further that in any exceptional case, and for special reasons recorded in writing any other penalty may be imposed.

Explanation—The following shall not amount to a penalty within the meaning of this rule, namely:—

- (i) Withholding of increments of pay of a member of the Service for failure to pass a departmental examination in accordance with the rules or orders governing the service;
- (ii) Stoppage of a member of the Service at the efficiency bar in the timescale of pay on the ground of his unfitness to cross the bar;
- non-promotion of a member of the Service, whether in a substantive or officiating capacity, to a post in the senior time-scale of pay on the ground of lack of adequate length of service and experience or non-confirmation in the service, or failure to pass the departmental examination:
 - (iii) a non-promotion of a member of the Service, whether in a substantive or officiating capacity, after due consideration of his case to the selection grade or to a post carrying pay above the time-scale of pay.
 - (iv) reversion of a member of the Service officiating in a higher grade or post, to which promotions are made by selection, to a lower grade or post after a period of trial not exceeding three years on the ground that he is considered unsuitable for such higher grade or post, or on any administrative ground unconnected with his conduct;

³⁵ Inserted vide DOP&T Notification No. 11018/7/87-AIS-III dated 26.02.1988

³⁶ Inserted vide DP&T's Notification No.11018/5/2000-AIS(III) dated 04.04.2002 (GSR No.118 dt. 13.04.2002)

- (v) reversion of a member of the Service, appointed on probation to the Service, to State Service, during or at the end of the period of probation, in accordance with the terms of appointment or the rules and orders governing such probation;
- (vi) replacement of the services of a member of the Service whose services have been borrowed from a State Government at the disposal of the State Government concerned;
- (vii) compulsory retirement of a member of the Service under the Provisions of the All India Services (Death-cum-Retirement Benefit) Rules, 1958;
- (viii) termination of the service of a member of the Service, appointed on probation, during or at the end of the period of probation in accordance with the terms of the service or the rules and orders governing such probation.

 $(2)^{38}[]$

7. Authority to institute proceedings and to impose penalty—

- ³⁹(1) Where a member of the Service has committed any act or omission which renders him liable to any penalty specified in rule 6—
 - (a) if such act or omission was committed before his appointment to the Service—
 - (i) the State Government, if he is serving in connection with the affairs of that State, or is deputed for service in any company, association or body of individuals, whether incorporated or not, which is wholly or substantially owned or controlled by the Government of that State or in a local authority set up by an Act of the Legislature of that State; or
 - (ii) the Central Government, in any other case, shall alone be competent to institute disciplinary proceedings against him and, subject to the provisions of sub-rule (2), to impose on him such penalty specified in rule 6 as it thinks fit;
 - (b) If such act or omission was committed after his appointment to the Service—
 - (i) while he was serving in connection with the affairs of a State, or is deputed for service under any company, association or body of individuals, whether incorporated or not, which is wholly or substantially owned or controlled by the Government of a State, or in a local authority set up by an Act of the Legislature of that State, the Government of that State; or
 - while he was on training, the Central Government, unless the selection for the training was done by the State Govt. and the cost of the training was entirely borne by the State Government.

³⁸ Omitted vide DP&AR Notification No. 31/7/72-AIS-III dated 22.05.1973

³⁹ Substituted vide DP&AR Notification No. 6/9/72-AIS-III dt. 05.07.1975 (GSR No. 872 dt. 15.07.1975)

- (iii) while he was on leave, the Government which sanctioned him the leave; or
- (iv) while he was under suspension, the Government which placed him or is deemed to have placed him under suspension; or
- (v) if such act or omission is willful absence from duty after the expiry of leave, the Government which sanctioned the leave; or
- (vi) while he was absent from duty otherwise than on leave, the Government which would have been competent to institute disciplinary proceedings against him, had such act or commission been committed immediately before such absence from duty; or
- (vii) the Central Government, in any other case, shall alone be competent to institute disciplinary proceedings against him and, subject to provisions of sub-rule (2), to impose on him such penalty specified in rule 6 as it thinks fit, and the Government, company associations, body of individuals or local authority, as the case may be under whom he is serving at the time of institution of such proceedings shall be bound to render all reasonable facilities to the Government instituting and conducting such proceedings.

Explanation.— For the purposes of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) where the Government of a State is the authority competent to institute disciplinary proceedings against a member of the Service, in the event of a reorganisation of the State, the Government on whose cadre he is borne after such reoganisation shall be the authority competent to institute disciplinary proceedings and, subject to the provisions of sub-rule (2), to impose on him any penalty specified in rule 6.

- 41(1A)Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-rule (1) the Director, Lal Bahadur Shastri National Academy of administration, the Director, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel National Police Academy or the President, Forest Research Institute and Colleges, shall be empowered to initiate disciplinary proceedings against a probationer who is undergoing training at the Lal Bahadur Shastri National Academy of Administration, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel National Police Academy or Forest Research Institute and Colleges, as the case may be, in respect of any misconduct or misbehavior during the period he spends at the said Academy/Institute in accordance with the prescribed procedure laid down in rule 10 of these rules. Thereafter the Director/President shall refer the case to the Central Government with the relevant records for passing orders under rule 6 in consultation with the Commission.
- 42(1B)Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-rule (1), if in any case, a question arises as to the Government competent to institute disciplinary proceedings, it shall be decided by the Central Government and the Government so decided by the Central Government, as being competent to institute disciplinary proceedings (which may include the Central Government also), shall alone be competent to institute disciplinary

⁴¹ Inserted vide DP&AR Notification No. 11018/4/76-AIS-III dated 25.02.1977 (GSR No. 358 dt. 19.3.1977)

⁴² Inserted vide DP&AR Notification No. 11018/4/76-AIS-III dated 25.02.1977 (GSR No. 358 dt. 19.3.1977)

proceedings against him and, subject to the provisions of sub-rule (2), to impose on him such penalty specified in rule 6 as it thinks fit, and Government, company association, body of individuals, or the local authority, as the case may be, under whom he is serving at the time of the institution of such proceedings shall be bound to render all reasonable facilities to the Government instituting and conducting such proceedings.

- (2) The penalty of dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement shall not be imposed on a member of the Service except by an order of the Central Government.
- (3) Where the punishing Government is not the Government on whose cadre the member is borne, the latter Government shall be consulted before any penalty specified in rule 6 is imposed:
 - Provided that in relation to the members of the Service borne on a Joint Cadre, the punishing Government shall consult the Joint Cadre Authority:

Provided further that where the Government concerned are the Central Government and the State Government or two State Governments and there is a difference of opinion between the said Government in respect of any matter referred to in this rule, the matter shall be referred to the Central Government for its decisions, which shall be passed in consultation with the Commission.

PART IV—PROCEDURE FOR IMPOSING PENALTIES

8. Procedure for imposing major penalties.—

- 8(1) No order imposing any of the major penalties specified in rule 6 shall be made except after an inquiry is held as far as may be, in the manner provided in this rule and rule 10 or provided by the Public Servants (Inquiries) Act 1850 (37 of 1850) where such inquiry is held under that Act.
- 8(2) Whenever the disciplinary authority is of the opinion that there are grounds for inquiring into the truth of any imputation of misconduct or misbehaviour against a member of the Service, it may appoint under this rule or under the provisions of the Public Servants (Inquiries) Act 1850⁴⁴, as the case may be, an authority to inquire into the truth thereof.

⁴⁵Provided that where there is a complaint of sexual harassment within the meaning of rule 3 of the All India Services (Prevention of Sexual Harassment) Regulations, 1998, the Complaints Committee established in each Ministry or Department or Office for inquiring into such complaints, shall be deemed to be the inquiring authority appointed by the disciplinary authority for the purpose of these rules and the Complaints Committee shall hold, if separate procedure has not been made for the Complaints Committee for holding the inquiry into the complaints of sexual harassment, the inquiry as far as practicable, in accordance with the procedure laid down in these rules.

⁴³ Substituted vide DOP&T Notification No. 13/1/71-AIS-III dated 11.01.1972

⁴⁴ Circulated vide DOP&T letter No. 2506/80/AIS-III dated 05.08.1980

⁴⁵ Inserted vide DOP&T Notification No. 11018/4/2012-AIS-III dt. 10.06.2014 (GSR No.408(E) dt. 18.06.2014)

- 8(3) Where a Board is appointed as the inquiring authority it shall consist of not less than two senior officers provided that at least one member of such a Board shall be an officer of the Service to which the member of the Service belongs.
- 8(4) Where it is proposed to hold an inquiry against a member of the Service under this rule and or rule 10, the disciplinary authority shall draw up or caused to be drawn up—
 - (i) the substance of the imputations of misconduct or misbehaviour into definite and distinct articles of charge;
 - (ii) a statement of the imputations of misconduct or misbehaviour in support of each article of charge, which shall contain—
 - (a) a statement of all relevant facts including any admission or confession made by the member of the Service;
 - (b) a list of documents by which, and a list of witness by whom the articles of charge are proposed to be sustained.
- 468(5)(a) The disciplinary authority shall deliver or cause to be delivered to the member of the Service a copy of the articles of charge, the statement of the imputations of misconduct or misbehaviour and a list of documents and witnesses by which each article of charge is proposed to be sustained.
 - (b) On receipt of the articles of charge the member of Service shall be required to submit his written statement of defence, if he so desires, and also state whether he desires to be heard in person, within a period of thirty days, which may be further extended for a period not exceeding thirty days by recording reasons in writing by the disciplinary authority or any other authority authorised by the disciplinary authority on his behalf:

Provided that under no circumstances, the extension of time for filing written statement of defence shall exceed ninety days from the date of receipt of articles of charge.

- 8(6) (a) On receipt of the written statement of defence the disciplinary authority may appoint, under sub-rule (2), an inquiring authority for the purpose of inquiring into such of the articles of charge as are not admitted and where all the articles of charge have been admitted by the member of the Service in his written statement of defence, the disciplinary authority shall record its finding on each charge and shall act in the manner laid down in rule 9.
 - (b) If no written statement of defence is submitted by the member of the Service, the disciplinary authority may, if it considers it necessary to do so, appoint under sub-rule (2), an inquiring authority for the purpose.

-

⁴⁶ Substituted vide Notification No. 11018/01/2016-AIS-III, dt. 20.01.2017 (GSR No. 59(E) dt. 20.01.2017)

- (c) Where the disciplinary authority appoints an inquiring authority for holding an inquiry into such charge it may by an order, appoint a Government servant or a legal practitioner, to be known as the "Presenting Officer" to present on its behalf the case in support of the articles of charge.
- 8(7) The disciplinary authority shall forward to the inquiring authority—
 - a copy of the articles of charge and the statement of imputations of misconduct or misbehaviour;
 - (ii) a copy of the written statement of defence if any submitted by the member of the Service;
 - (iii) a copy of the ⁴⁷[statements] of witness, if any, referred to in sub-rule (4)
 - (iv) evidence proving the delivery of the documents referred to in sub-rule 4 to the member of the Service; and
 - (v) a copy of the order appointing the "Presenting Officer".
- 8(8) The member of the Service shall be required to appear in person before the inquiring authority at any time prescribed after the expiry of ten working days from the date of receipt of the articles of charge and statement of imputations of misconduct or misbehaviour, or within such further time, not exceeding ten days, as the inquiring authority may allow.
- 8(9) (a) The member of the Service may take the assistance of any other Government servant to present the case on his behalf but may not engage a legal practitioner for the purpose unless the Presenting Officer appointed by the disciplinary authority is a legal practitioner, or, the disciplinary authority, having regard to the circumstances of the case, so permits.
- ⁴⁸ 8(9) (b) A member of the Service may also take the assistance of a retired Government servant to present the case on his behalf, subject to such conditions as may be specified by the President from time to time by general or special order in this behalf.
- ⁴⁹NOTE: The member of the Service shall not take the assistance of any other Government servant who has two or more pending disciplinary cases on hand in which he has to give assistance.
- 8(10) If the member of the Service who has not admitted any of the articles of charge in his written statement of defence or has not submitted any written statement of defence appears before the inquiring authority, such authority shall ask him whether he is guilty or has any defence to make and if he pleads guilty to any of the article of charge, the inquiring authority shall record the plea, sign the record and obtain the signature of the member of the Service thereon.
- 8(11) The inquiring authority shall return a finding of guilt in respect of [those] articles of charge to which the member of the Service pleads guilty.

⁴⁷ Substituted DP&AR Notification No. 6/9/72-AIS-III dt. 5.7.1975 (GSR No. 872 dt. 19.7.1975)

⁴⁸ Inserted vide DP&AR Notification No. 6/9/72-AIS-III dt. 5.7.1975 (GSR No. 872 dt. 19.7.1975)

⁴⁹ Inserted vide DP&AR Notification No. 11018/12/76-AIS-III dt. 12.7.1977 (GSR No. 983 dt. 30.7.1977)

- 8(12) The inquiring authority shall, if the member of the Service fails to appear within the specified time or refuses or omits to plead, require the Presenting Officer to produce the evidence by which he proposes to prove the articles of charge and shall adjourn the case to a later date, not exceeding thirty days, after recording an order that the member of the Service may, for the purpose of preparing his defence:
 - inspect, within five days of the order or, within such further time not exceeding five days as the inquiring authority may allow, the document specified in the list referred to in sub-rule (4);
 - (ii) submit a list of witness to be examined on his behalf:
- NOTE: If the member of the Service applies orally or in writing for the supply of copies of the statement of witness mentioned in the list referred to in subrule (4), the inquiring authority shall furnish him with such copies as early as possible and in any case not later than three days before the commencement of the examination of the witness on behalf of the disciplinary authority.
 - (iii) give a notice within ten days of the order or, within such further time not exceeding ten days as the inquiring authority may allow, for the discovery or production of any documents which are in the possession of Government but not mentioned in the list referred to in sub-rule (4).
- NOTE: The member of the Service shall indicate the relevance of the documents required by him to be discovered or produced by the Government.
- 8(13) The inquiring authority shall, on receipt of the notice for the discovery or production of documents, forward the same or copies thereof to the authority in whose custody or possession the documents are kept with a requisition for the production of the document by such date as may be specified in such requisition:
 - Provided that the inquiring authority may, for reasons to be recorded by it in writing, refuse to requisition such of the documents as are, in its opinion, not relevant to the case.
- 8(14) On receipt of the requisition referred to in sub-rule (13), every authority having the custody or possession of the requisitioned documents shall produce the same before the inquiring authority:
 - Provided that if the authority having the custody or possession of the requisitioned documents is satisfied, for reasons to be recorded by it in writing, that the production of all or any of such documents would be against the public interest or security of the State, it shall inform the inquiring authority accordingly and the inquiring authority shall, on being so informed, communicate the information to the member of the Service and withdraw the requisition made by it for the production or discovery of such documents.
- 8(15) On the date fixed for the inquiry, the oral and documentary evidence by which the articles of charge are proposed to be proved shall be produced by, on behalf of, the disciplinary authority. The witnesses shall be examined by, or on behalf of, disciplinary authority. The witnesses shall be examined by, or on behalf of the Presenting Officer and may be cross-examined by, or

on behalf of, the member of the Service. The Presenting Officer shall be entitled to re-examine the witnesses on any point, on which they have been cross-examined, but not on any new matter, without the leave of the inquiring authority. The inquiring authority may also put such questions to the witnesses as it thinks fit.

- 8(16) If it shall appear necessary before the close of the case on behalf of the disciplinary authority, the inquiring authority may, in its discretion, allow the Presenting Officer to produce evidence not included in the list given to the member of the Service or may itself call for new evidence or recall and reexamine any witness and, in such case, the member of the Service shall be entitled to have, if he demands it, a copy of the list of further evidence proposed to be produced and an adjournment of the inquiry for three clear days before the production of such new evidence, exclusive of the day of adjournment and the day to which the inquiry is adjourned. The inquiring authority shall give to the member of the Service an opportunity of inspecting such documents before they are taken on the record. The inquiring authority may also allow the member of the Service to produce new evidence, if it is of opinion that the production of such evidence is necessary in the interests of justice.
- NOTE: New evidence shall not be permitted or called for or any witness shall not be recalled to fill up any gap in the evidence. Such evidence may be called for only when there is an inherent lacuna or defect in the evidence which has been produced originally.
- 8(17) When the case for the disciplinary authority is closed, the member of the Service shall be required to state his defence, orally or in writing, as he may prefer. If the defence is made orally, it shall be recorded and the member of the Service shall be required to sign the record. In either case, a copy of the statement of defence shall be given to the Presenting Officer, if any appointed.
- 8(18) The evidence on behalf of the member of the Service shall then be produced. The member of the Service may examine himself in his own behalf if he so prefers. The witnesses produced by the member of the Service shall then be examined and shall be liable to cross-examination, reexamination and examination by the inquiring authority according to the provisions applicable to the witnesses for the disciplinary authority.
- 8(19) The inquiring authority may, after the member of the Service closes his case, and shall, if the member of the Service has not examined himself, generally question him on the circumstances appearing against him in the evidence for the purpose of enabling the member of the Service to explain any circumstances appearing in the evidence against him.
- 8(20) The inquiring authority may, after the completion of the production of evidence, hear the Presenting Officer, if any, appointed, and the member of the Service or permit them to file written briefs of their respective cases, if they so desire.
- 8(21) If the member of the Service, to whom a copy of the articles of charge has been delivered, does not submit the written statement of defence on or

before the date specified for the purpose or does not appear in person before the inquiring authority or otherwise fails or refuses to comply with the provisions of this rule, the inquiring authority may hold the inquiry ex-parte.

- 8(22) (a) Where a State Government which has caused to be inquired into the articles of any charge and, having regard to its decision on any of the findings of any inquiring authority appointed by it is of the opinion that the penalties specified in clauses (vii) to (ix) of rule 6 should be imposed on the member of the Service, the State Government shall forward the records of the inquiry to the Central Government suggesting imposition of the penalties specified in clauses (vii) to (ix) of rule 6.
- 8(22) (b) The Central Government may act on the evidence on the record or may, if it is of the opinion that further examination of any of the witness is necessary in the interest of justice, recall the witnesses and examine, cross-examine and re-examine such witnesses. If the Central Government do not find justification for imposing one of the penalties specified in clauses (vii) to (ix) of rule 6 in a case referred to it by a State Government, then it shall refer it back to the State Government.
- 8(23) Whenever an inquiring authority, after having heard and recorded the whole or any part of the evidence in an inquiry, ceases to exercise jurisdiction therein and is succeeded by another inquiring authority which has, and which exercises, such jurisdiction, the inquiring authority so succeeding may act on the evidence so recorded by its predecessor, or partly recorded by its predecessor and partly recorded by itself:

Provided that, if the succeeding inquiring authority is of the opinion that further examination of any of the witnesses whose evidence has already been recorded is necessary in the interest of justice, it may recall, examine, cross-examine and re-examine any such witness as here in before provided.

- 8(24) (i) After the conclusion of the inquiry, a report shall be prepared and it shall contain—
 - (a) the articles of charge and the statement of imputations of misconduct or misbehaviour;
 - (b) the defence of the member of the Service in respect of each article of charge;
 - (c) an assessment of the evidence in respect of each article of charge; and
 - (d) the findings on each article of charge and the reasons therefor.

Explanation— If in the opinion of the inquiring authority the proceedings of the inquiry establish any article of charge different from the original articles of charge, it may record its findings on such article of charge:

Provided that the findings on such article of charge shall not be recorded unless the member of the Service has either admitted the facts on which such article of charge is based or has had a reasonable opportunity of defending himself against such article of charge.

- (ii) The inquiring authority shall forward to the disciplinary authority the records of inquiry which shall include—
 - (a) the report prepared by it under clause (i);
- (b) the written statement of defence, if any, submitted by the member of the Service;
- (c) the oral and documentary evidence produced in the course of the inquiry;
- (d) written briefs, if any, filed by the Presenting Officer or the member of the Service or both during the course of the inquiry; and
- (e) the orders, if any, made by the disciplinary authority and the inquiring authority in regard to the inquiry.
- ⁵⁰8(25)(a) The Inquiring Authority should conclude the inquiry and submit his report within a period of six months from the date of receipt of order of his appointment as Inquiring Authority.
 - (b) Where it is not possible to adhere to the time limit in clause (a), the Inquiring Authority may record the reasons and seek extension of time from the disciplinary authority in writing, who may allow an additional time not exceeding six months for completion of the inquiry.
 - (c) The extension for a further period not exceeding six months at a time may be allowed for any good and sufficient reasons to be recorded in writing by the disciplinary authority or any other authority authorised by the disciplinary authority on his behalf.

9. Action on the inquiry report.—

- 9(1) The disciplinary authority may, for reasons to be recorded by it in writing, remit the case to inquiring authority for further inquiry and report, and the inquiring authority shall thereupon proceed to hold the further inquiry according to the provisions of rule 8 as far as may be.
- 519(2) The disciplinary authority shall forward or cause to be forwarded a copy of the report of the inquiry, if any, held by the disciplinary authority or where the disciplinary authority is not the inquiring authority, a copy of the report of the inquiring authority together with its own tentative reasons for disagreement, if any with the findings of inquiry authority on any article of charge to the Member of the Service who shall be required to submit, if he so desires, his written representation of submission to the disciplinary authority within fifteen days, irrespective of whether the report is favourable or not to the Member of the Service.
- ⁵²9(2-A)The disciplinary authority shall consider the representation, if any, submitted by the Member and record its findings before proceeding further in the matter as specified in sub-rules (3) and (4).

⁵² Inserted vide DoPT Notification No.11018/1/2002-AIS-III dt.26.06.2003 (GSR No.249 dt.12.07.2003)

 $^{^{50}}$ Inserted vide Notification No. 11018/01/2016-AIS-III, dt. 20.01.2017 (GSR No. 59(E) dt. 20.01.2017)

⁵¹ Substituted vide DoPT Notification No.11018/1/2002-AIS-III dt.26.06.2003 (GSR No.249 dt.12.07.2003)

- ⁵³9(3) If the disciplinary authority, having regard to its findings on all or any of the articles of charge, is of the opinion that any of the penalties specified in clauses (i) to (iv) of rule 6, shall be imposed on the member of the Service, it shall notwithstanding anything contained in rule 10, make an order imposing such penalty in such manner as specified by the Government.
- 549(4) If the disciplinary authority having regard to its findings on all or any of the articles of charge and on the basis of the evidence adduced during the inquiry is of the opinion that any of the penalties specified in clauses (v) to (ix) of rule 6 shall be imposed on the member of the Service, it shall make an order imposing such penalty in such manner as specified by the Government.

⁵⁵9(5)(a) In every case the disciplinary authority shall forward or cause to be forwarded to the Commission for its advice-

- a copy of the report of the Inquiring Authority together with its own tentative reasons for disagreement, if any, with the findings of Inquiring Authority on any article of charge; and
- (ii) comments of disciplinary authority on the representation of the member of Service on the Inquiry Report and disagreement note, if any and all the case records of the inquiry proceedings.
- (b) The disciplinary authority shall forward or cause to be forwarded a copy of the advice of the Commission received under clause (a) to the member of Service, who shall be required to submit, if he so desires, his written representation or submission to the disciplinary authority within a period of fifteen days, on the advice of the Commission, which may be extended for a further period not exceeding fifteen days by recording the reasons in writing by the disciplinary authority or any other authority authorised by the disciplinary authority on his behalf:

Provided that under no circumstances, the extension of time shall exceed forty-five days from the receipt of advice of the Commission by the member of Service.

(c) The advice of the Commission received under clause (a) and the representation of the member of Service on such advice shall be taken into consideration before making any order imposing any penalty on the member of Service.

10. Procedure for imposing minor penalties—

⁵³ Substituted vide Notification No. 11018/01/2016-AIS-III, dt. 20.01.2017 (GSR No. 59(E) dt. 20.01.2017)

⁵⁴ Substituted vide Notification No. 11018/01/2016-AIS-III, dt. 20.01.2017 (GSR No. 59(E) dt. 20.01.2017)

⁵⁵ Inserted / Substituted vide Notification No. 11018/01/2016-AIS-III, dt. 20.01.2017 (GSR No. 59(E) dt. 20.01.2017)

- 10(1) Subject to the provision of sub-rule (3) of Rule 9 no order imposing on a member of the Service any of the penalties specified in clauses (i) to (iv) of rule 6 shall be made except after:—
 - (a) informing the member of the Service in writing of the proposal to take action against him and of the imputations of misconduct or misbehavior on which it is proposed to be taken and giving him a reasonable opportunity of making such representation as he may wish to make against the proposal;
 - (b) holding an inquiry, in the manner laid down in sub-rules (4) to (23) of rule 8, ⁵⁶ (in every case in which it is proposed to withhold increments of pay for a period exceeding three years, or with cumulative effect for any period, or so as to adversely affect the amount of pension payable to him, or in which the disciplinary authority is of the opinion that such inquiry is necessary.
 - (c) taking the representation, if any submitted by the member of the Service under clause (a), and the record of inquiry, if any, held under clause (b) into consideration;
 - (d) recording a finding on each imputation of misconduct or misbehavior, and
 - (e) consulting the Commission.
- 10(2) The record of proceedings in such cases shall include:—
 - (i) a copy of the intimation to the member of the Service of the proposal to take action against him;
 - (ii) a copy of the statement of imputations of misconduct or misbehavior delivered to him;
 - (iii) his representation, if any;
 - (iv) the evidence produced during the inquiry;
 - (v) the advice of the Commission;
 - (vi) the findings on each imputation of misconduct or misbehavior; and
 - (vii) the orders on the case together with the reasons therefor.
- 11. Cases of difference of opinion to be referred to Central Government.— When there is any difference of opinion between a State Government and the Commission on any matter covered by these rules such matter shall be referred to the Central Government for its decision.
- 12. **Communication of orders.** Orders made by the disciplinary authority shall be communicated to the member of the Service who shall also be supplied with a copy of the report of the inquiring authority and a statement of the finding of the disciplinary authority, together with brief reasons for its disagreements, if any, with the findings of the inquiring authority (unless they have already been supplied to him) and also a copy of the advice, if any, given by the Commission and, where the disciplinary authority has not

⁵⁶ Substituted vide DP&AR Notification No.6/9/72-AIS(III) dated 05.07.1975 (GSR No.872 dated 19.07.1975)

accepted the advice of the Commission, a brief statement of the reasons for such non-acceptance.

- 13. **Common proceeding.** Where two or more members of the Service are concerned in any case, the Government may make an order directing that disciplinary action against all of them may be taken in a common proceeding.
- 14. **Special procedure in certain cases**.— Notwithstanding anything contained in rules 8 to 12—
 - (i) where any penalty is imposed on a member of the Service on the ground of conduct which has led to his conviction on a criminal charge; or
 - (ii) where the disciplinary authority is satisfied, for reasons to be recorded by it in writing, that if, is not reasonably practicable to hold an inquiry in the manner provided in these rules; or
 - (iii) where the President is satisfied that, in the interest of the security of the State, it is not expedient to hold an inquiry in the manner provided in these rules, the disciplinary authority may consider the circumstances of the case and make such orders thereon as it deems fit;

⁵⁷Provided that the member of the Service may be given an opportunity of making a representation on the penalty proposed to be imposed before any order is made in a case under clause (i) of this rule:

Provided ⁵⁸further that except in cases where consultation with the Union Public Service Commission is not necessary in accordance with the provisions of the Union Public Service Commission (Exemption from Consultation) Regulations, 1958, the Union Public Service Commission shall be consulted before any order is made in any case under this rule.

PART V—Appeals

15. Orders against which no appeal lies.—

- 15(1) Notwithstanding anything contained in this part, no appeal shall lie against:—
 - (i) any order made by the President;
 - (ii) any order of an interlocutory nature or of the nature of step-in-aid for the final disposal of a disciplinary proceeding, other than an order of suspension;
 - (iii) any order passed by an inquiring authority in the course of inquiry under rule 8;
 - (iv) any order by a competent authority withholding an appeal under rule 23.
- 15(2) Nothing in clause (i) and clause (iv) of sub-rule (1) shall be deemed to affect or abridge the right of a member of the Service to submit a memorial to the President under, and in accordance with, the provisions of rule 25.

-

⁵⁷ Inserted vide DP&T Notification No.11018/2/87-AIS(III) dated 09.02.1888

⁵⁸ Substituted vide Deptt. of p &AR Notification No.6/5/74-AIS(III), dated 28/7/75 (GSR No.988, dated 9.8.75)

- 16. **Orders against which appeal lies.**—Subject to the provisions of rule 15 and the explanations to rule 6, a member of the service may prefer an appeal to the Central Government against all or any of the following orders, namely:—
 - (i) an order of suspension made or deemed to have been made under rule 3;
 - (ii) an order passed by a State Government imposing any of the penalties specified in rule 6;
 - (iii) an order of a State Government which-
 - (a) denies or varies to his disadvantage his pay, allowance []⁵⁹ or other conditions of service as regulated by rules applicable to him; or
 - (b) interprets to his disadvantage the provisions of any such rule; or
 - (c) has the effect of superseding him in promotion to a selection post;
 - (iv) an order of the State Government-
 - (a) stopping him at the efficiency bar in the time scale of pay on the ground of his unfitness to cross the bar; or
 - (b) reverting him while officiating in a higher grade or post to a lower grade or post, otherwise than as a penalty; or
 - 60(c) deleted
 - (d) determining the subsistence and other allowances to be paid to him for the period of suspension or for the period during which he is deemed to be under suspension or for any portion thereof; or
 - (e) determining his pay and allowances—
 - (i) for the period of suspension, or
 - (ii) from the date of dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement from service, or from the date of reduction to a lower grade, post, timescale of pay or stage in a time-scale of pay, to the date of reinstatement or restoration to be paid to him on his reinstatement or restoration; or
 - (f) determining whether or not the period from the date of suspension or from the date of dismissal, removal, compulsory retirement or reduction to a lower grade post, time scale of pay or stage in a time scale of pay, to the date of his reinstatement or restoration shall be treated as a period spent on duty for any purpose.

⁶¹Explanation.—In this rule, the expression 'member of the Service' includes a person who has ceased to be a member of the Service.

17. **Period of limitation of appeals.**—No appeal preferred under these rules shall be entertained unless such appeal is preferred within a period of forty-five days from the date on which a copy of the order ⁶²appealed against is delivered to the appellant:

⁶¹ Substituted vide DPAR Notification No.6/9/72-AIS(III), dated 5.7.75 (GSR No. 872 dated 19.5.75

⁵⁹ The word pension deleted vide DPAR Notification No.6/9/72-AIS(III), dated 5.7.75 (GSR No.872, dt. 19.7.75)

⁶⁰ Deleted vide Notification No. 6/9/92-AIS(III)dated 5.7.72-AIS(III), (GSR No.872 dt. 19.7.75)

Provided that the appellate authority may entertain the appeal after the expiry of the said period if it is satisfied that the appellate had sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal in time.

18. Form and content of appeal.—

- 18(1) Every member preferring an appeal shall do so separately and in his own name.
- 18(2) Every appeal preferred under these rules shall be addressed to the Secretary to the Government of India in the ⁶³Department or the Ministry, as the case may be, dealing with the All India Service concerned and shall—
 - (a) contain all material statements and arguments relied on by the appellant;
 - (b) contain no disrespectful or improper language; and
 - (c) be complete in itself.
- 18(3) Every such appeal shall be submitted through the head of the office under whom the appellant is for the time being serving and through the Government from whose order the appeal is preferred.
- 6418(4) (a) The authority which made the order appealed against shall, on receipt of a copy of every appeal, which is not withheld under rule 21, forward the same with its comments thereon together with the relevant records to the appellate authority within thirty days from the receipt of the appeal by the State Governments and without waiting for any direction from the Central Government; and
 - (b) If the original appeal along with the comments of the State Government is not received by the Central Government within stipulated period, the Central Government shall take a decision on the advance copy of the appeal received by them.

19. Consideration of Appeal.—

- 19(1) In the case of an appeal against an order of the State Government imposing any penalty specified in rule 6, the Central Government shall consider—
 - (a) whether the procedure laid down in these rules has been complied with, and, if not, whether such non-compliance has resulted in violation of any provision of the Constitution of India or in the failure of justice;
 - (b) whether the findings of the disciplinary authority are warranted by the evidence on record; and
 - (c) whether the penalty imposed is adequate, inadequate or severe and pass orders—
 - (i) confirming, enhancing, reducing or setting aside the penalty; or

⁶² Substituted vide DPAR Not. No. 6/9/72-AIS(III), dated 5.7.75 (GSR No.872 dated 19.5.75)

⁶³ Substituted vide DPAR Notification No.6/9/72-AIS(III) dated 05.07.1975 (GSRNo.872, dated 19.05.1975)

⁶⁴ Substituted vide Notification No. 11018/1/2013-AIS-III, dt. 21.12.2015 (GSR No. 1001(E) dt. 23.12.2015)

(ii) remitting the case to the authority which imposed the penalty or to any other authority with such direction as it may deem fit in the circumstances of the case.

Provided that—

- (i) the Commission shall be consulted before an order confirming, enhancing, reducing or setting aside a penalty is passed;
- (ii) if the enhanced penalty which the Central Government proposes to impose is one of the penalties specified in clauses (v) to (ix) of rule 6 and an inquiry under rule 8 has not already been held in the case, the appellate authority shall, subject to the provisions of rule 14, itself hold such inquiry or direct that such inquiry be held in accordance with the provisions of rule 8 and thereafter, on a consideration of the proceedings of such inquiry ⁶⁵[] make such orders as it may deem fit;
- (iii) if the enhanced penalty which the Central Government proposed to impose is one of the penalties specified in clause (v) to (ix) of rule 6 and an inquiry under rule 8 has already been held in the case, the Central Government shall, ⁶⁶[] make such orders as it may deem fit; and
- (iv) no order imposing an enhanced penalty shall be made in any other case unless the appellant has been given a reasonable opportunity as far as may be in accordance with the provisions of rule 10, of making representation against such enhanced penalty.
- 19(2) In an appeal against any other order specified in rule 16 the Central Government shall consider all the circumstances of the case and make such orders as it may deem just and equitable.
- 20. **Implementation of orders on appeal**—Every order passed by the Central Government in appeal under any of the relevant provisions of these rules shall be final and the State Government concerned shall forthwith give effect to such order.

21. Circumstances in which appeals may be withheld.—

- 21(1) The State Government, from whose order an appeal is preferred, may withhold the appeal if—
- 21(1) (a) it is an appeal in a case in which under these rules there is no right of appeal, or
- 21(1) (b) it does not comply with the provisions of rule 18, or
- 21(1) (c) it is not preferred within the period specified in rule 17 and no reasonable cause is shown for the delay, or
- 21(1) (d) it is a repetition of a previous appeal which has already been decided and no new facts or circumstances are adduced which afford grounds for a reconsideration of the case.
- 21(2) In every case in which an appeal is withheld, the appellant shall be informed of the fact and the reasons therefore.

⁶⁵ Deleted vide Notification No. 11018/15/78-AIS(III) dated 13.10.1981 (GSR No.955, dated 31.10.1981)

⁶⁶ Substituted vide Notification No. 6/9/75-AIS(III), dated 5.7.75 (GSR No. 872, dt. 19.7.75)

- 21(3) An appeal withheld on account only of failure to comply with the provisions of rule 18 may be resubmitted at any time within one month of the date on which the appellant has been informed of the withholding of the appeal, and, if resubmitted in a form which complies with the said provisions, shall not be withheld.
- 22. **List of appeals withheld.** The State Government shall forward to the Central Government on the first day of January and July every year a list of appeals to the Central Government withheld by them under rule 21 during the preceding six months together with the reasons for withholding the same.
- 23. Appellate authority may call for any appeal withheld.— The Central Government may call for any appeal which has been withheld by any State Government under rule 21, dealt with it in the manner laid down in rule 19 and pass such orders thereon as the Central Government thinks fit.

⁶⁷PART VI— REVISION, REVIEW AND MEMORIALS

24. Revision.—

- 24(1) Notwithstanding anything contained in these rules, the Central Government or the State Government concerned, as the case may be, may at any time not exceeding 6 months from the date of the order passed in appeal, if an appeal has been preferred, and where no such appeal had been preferred, within one year of the original order which gives the cause of action, either on its own motion or otherwise call for the records of any order relating to suspension or any inquiry and revise any order made under these rules or under the rules repealed by rule 30 from which an appeal is allowed. But from which no appeal has been preferred or from which no appeals is allowed, ⁶⁸ [] and may:
 - (a) confirm, modify or set aside the order; or
 - (b) confirm, reduce, enhance or set aside the penalty imposed by the order, or impose any penalty where no penalty has been imposed, or
 - (c) remit the case to the authority which made the order directing such authority to make such further inquiry as it may consider proper in the circumstances of the case; or
 - (d) pass such orders as it may deem fit:

Provided that no order imposing or enhancing any penalty shall be made unless the member of the Service concerned has been given a reasonable opportunity of making a representation against the penalty proposed and where it is proposed to impose any of the penalties specified in clauses (v) to clause (ix) of rule 6 or to enhance the penalty imposed by the order sought to be revised to any of the penalties specified in these clauses, no such penalty shall be imposed except after an inquiry in the manner laid down in rule 8 and ⁶⁹except after consultation with the Commission:

⁶⁷ Substituted/inserted vide DPAR Notification No. 11018/19/81-AIS(III), dated 3.2.84 (GSRNo.162, dt. 18.2.84)

⁶⁸ Deleted vide DPAR Notification No.6/9/72-AIS(III) dated 05.07.1975 (GSR No.872, dt. 19.07.1975)

⁶⁹ Deleted vide Notification No.11018/15/78-AIS(III) dated 13.10.1981, GSR No.959, dt. 31.10.1981)

Provided further that where the original order was passed by the Central Government or the State Government concerned, as the case may be, after consultation with the Commission, it shall not be revised except after consultation with the Commission.

23(2) No proceeding for revision shall be commenced until after—

- (i) the expiry of the period of limitation for an appeal, or
- (ii) the disposal of the appeal, where any such appeal has been preferred.
- 23(3) An application for revision shall be dealt with in the same manner as if it were an appeal under these rules.

⁷⁰24-A. **Review**.—The Central Government may at any time, either its own motion or otherwise, review any order passed under these rules, when any new material or evidence which could not be produced or was not available at the time of passing the order under review and which has the effect of changing the nature of the case, has come, or has been brought, to its notice:

Provided that no order imposing or enhancing any penalty shall be made by the Central Government unless the member of the Service concerned has been given a reasonable opportunity of making a representation against the penalty proposed or where it is proposed to impose any of the major penalties specified in rule 6 or to enhance a minor penalty imposed by the order sought to be reviewed to any of the major penalties and if an enquiry under rule 8 has not already been held in the case, no such penalty shall be imposed except after inquiring in the manner laid down in rule 8, subject to the provisions of rule 14, and except after consultation with the Commission.

25. Memorials.—

7125(1) A member of the Service shall be entitled to submit a memorial to the President against any order of the Central Government or the State Government by which he is aggrieved:

Provided that -

- (a) no memorial shall lie against any order which is interlocutory in nature or of the nature of step-in-aid for final disposal of disciplinary proceedings;
- (b) such memorial shall be submitted only after all other remedies provided in these rules, including appeal, review and revision have been exhausted;
- (c) such memorial shall be submitted within a period of ninety days, from the date of passing of an order in any appeal, review or revision, as the case may be, by the Central Government or the State Government as the case may be.

⁷⁰ Substituted/inserted vide D/oP&R Notification No.11018/19/81-AIS(III) dated 03.02.1984 (GSR No.162 dt.18.02.1984)

⁷¹ Substituted/inserted vide Notification No.11018/3/2010-AIS(III) dated 22.11.2010 (GSR No.212 dt.27.11.2010)

- ⁷² Explanation.— In this sub-rule, the expression 'member of the Service' includes a person who has ceased to be a member of the Service.
 - 25(2) Every such memorial shall be authenticated by the signature of the memorialist and submitted by the memorialist on his own behalf.
 - 25(3) Every memorial submitted under these rules shall—
 - 25(3) (a) contain all material statements and arguments relied upon by the memorialist;
 - 25(3) (b) contain no disrespectful or improper language;
 - 25(3) (c) be complete in itself; and
 - 25(3) (d) end with a specific prayer.
 - ⁷³25(4) (a) If the memorial is against the orders of a State Government, it shall be submitted through the State Government concerned and if the memorial is against the orders of the Central Government, it shall be submitted through the Ministry or the authority concerned in the Central Government, and the State Government concerned, or as the case may be, the Ministry or authority in the Central Government shall forward the same together within thirty days from the receipt of the memorial by the State Governments with a concise statement of facts material thereto and, unless there are special reasons to the contrary, with an expression of its opinion thereon.; and
 - (b) If the original memorial alongwith the comments of the Ministry or the State Government concerned, or as the case may be, is not received by the Central Government within stipulated period, the Central Government shall take decision on the advance copy of the memorial received by them.
 - ⁷⁴25(5)A memorial submitted under the proviso to sub rule (4) shall be referred to the State Government, or as the case may be, to the Ministry or authority in the Central Government, against whose orders the memorial is submitted, and the State Government concerned or, as the case may be, the Ministry or authority in the Central Government, shall return the memorial together with a concise statement of facts material thereto, and, unless there are special reasons to the contrary, with an expression of its opinion thereon.
 - ⁷⁵25(5a)If the memorial is against an order imposing any of the penalties specified in rule 6, no such order shall be revised except after consultation with the Commission.
 - 25(6) The authority against whose orders a memorial is submitted under this rule shall give effect to any order passed thereon by the President.

⁷² Inserted vide D PAR Notification No. 6/9/72-AIS(III), dt. 5.7.75 (GSR No.872,dt.19.7.75)

⁷³ Substituted vide Notification No. 11018/1/2013-AIS-III, dt. 21.12.2015 (GSR No. 1001(E) dt. 23.12.2015)

⁷⁴ Inserted vide DPAR Notification No.6/9/72-AIS(III),dt. 5.7.75 (GSR No.872, dt. 19.7.75)

⁷⁵ Inserted vide DPAR Notification No.6/9/72-AIS(III), dated 5.7.75 (GSR No.872, dt. 19.7.75)

26. **Forwarding of advance copies** — In cases where an appeal is preferred or a memorial is submitted under these rules, the appellant or the memorialist, as the case may be, may, if he do desires, forward an advance copy to the appellate authority in the case of an appeal or to the President of India in the case of a memorial.

PART VII—MISCELLANEOUS

- 27. **Service of orders, notices etc** Every order, notice and other process made or issued under these rules shall be served in person on the member of the Service concerned or communicated to him by registered post.
- 28. Power to relax time limit and condone delay Save as otherwise expressly provided in these rules, the Central Government or the State Government, as the case may be, may, for good and sufficient reasons or if sufficient cause is shown extend the time specified in these rules for anything required to be done under these rules or condone any delay.
- 29. **Supply of copy of Commission's advice** Whenever the Commission is consulted as provided in these rules, a copy of the advice by the Commission and, where such advice has not been accepted, also a brief statement of the reasons for such non-acceptance, shall be furnished to the member of the Service concerned along with a copy of the order passed in the case.
- 30. **Repeal and Saving** The All India Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1955, are hereby repealed.

Provided that—

- (a) such repeal shall not affect the previous operation of the said rules, or anything done, or any action taken, hereunder;
- (b) any proceedings under the said rules, pending at the commencement of these rules shall be continued and disposed of, as far as may be, in accordance, with the provisions of these rules, as if such proceedings were proceedings under these rules.
- (2) Nothing in these rules shall be construed as depriving any person to whom these rules apply of any right of appeal which had accrued to him under the rules hereby repealed (hereinafter referred to as the repealed rules).
- (3) An appeal pending at the commencement of these rules against any order made before such commencement under the repealed rules shall be considered and orders thereon shall be made, in accordance with these rules, as if such orders were made and the appeal was preferred under these rules.
- (4) As from the commencement of these rules any appeal or application for review against any order made before such commencement under the repealed rules shall be preferred or made under these rules, as if such orders were made under these rules:

Provided that nothing in these rules shall be construed as reducing any period of limitation for any appeal or review provided by the repealed rules.

31. Removal of doubts:— Where a doubt arises as to the interpretation of any of the provisions of these rules, the matter shall be referred to the Central Government for its decision.

[No. 7/15/63—AIS(III) dated 20.3.69 GSR No. 926 dated 12.4.69]

⁷⁶SCHEDULE 1

{see rule 3(8)(c) }

- 1. Composition of Review Committees:—
 - (a) The Review Committee constituted by the Central Government shall consist of
 - (i) Secretary to the Government of India in the concerned Ministry/Department Chairman.
 - (ii) Additional Secretary/Joint Secretary in charge of Administration in the concerned Ministry/Department—Member.
 - (iii) Any other Additional Secretary/Joint Secretary in the concerned Ministry/Department—Member.

Note: The Committee may, if considered necessary, co-opt an officer of the Department of Personnel and Training with the approval of Secretary (Personnel), Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions.

- (b) The Review Committee constituted by the State Government shall consist—
 - (i) Chief Secretary—Chairman.
 - (ii) Senior most Additional Chief Secretary/Chairman, Board of Revenue/ Financial Commissioner or an officer of equivalent rank and status—Member.
 - (iii) Secretary, Department of Personnel in the State Government— Member Secretary.
- Note: (i) The Home Secretary/Director General (Police) of the concerned States may be co-opted wherever a case concerning a member of the Indian Police Service is considered.
 - (ii) The Secretary Forest/Principal Chief Conservator of forest of the concerned State may be co-opted wherever a case concerning a member of the Indian Forest Service is considered by the Committee.
 - (iii) In States where Civil Services Board have been constituted, the State Government may entrust the work of the Review Committee to the Board.

2. Functions:—

- (a) The Review Committee/ Civil Services Board shall review the cases of officers under suspension in order to determine whether they are of sufficient grounds for continuation of suspensions.
- (b) In every case the review shall be done within 90 days from the date of order of the suspension. In a case where the period of suspension has been extended, the next review shall be done within a period of 180 days from the date of last extension.

3.	Pr	oc	ed	ur	е	:
----	----	----	----	----	---	---

⁷⁶ Renumbered vide Notification No.11018/3/2004-AIS-III dt.30.09.2009 (GSR No.714(E) dt. 30.09.2009)

- (a) The Review Committee/ Civil Services Board while assessing the justification for further continuance of any suspension, shall look into the progress of any enquiry/investigation against the officer by obtaining relevant information from the authorities enquiring/investigating into the charges.
- (b) The Review Committee/ Civil Services Board while examining a case shall consider the possibility of the officer under suspension tampering with the evidence, his influencing the process of enquiry or investigation and deprivation of his services during suspension.
- (c) The Review Committee/Civil Services Board shall submit a detailed report to the competent authority, clearly stating its recommendations and the reasons for arriving at the recommendations relating to the continuance of suspension.

77SCHEDULE 2

[See rule 3 sub-rule (1B), (1C) and (1D)]

 Composition of the Review Committees.- The Central Ministry's Review Committee constituted by the Central Government: shall consist of –

(i)	Secretary, Department of Personnel & Training, Govt. of India	Chairperson
(ii)	Secretary to the Government of India in the concerned Ministry/Department or a member nominated by him not below the level of Additional Secretary	Member
(iii)	Additional Secretary / Establishment Officer, Department of Personnel & Training, Govt. of India	Member

- 2. Functions.- On a reference being made by the Government that has ordered the suspension seeking extension beyond the period stipulated, the Central Ministry's Review Committee shall review the cases of officers under suspension on charges other than corruption in order to determine whether there are sufficient grounds for continuation of suspension beyond the period of one year and review the cases of officers under suspension on charges of corruption in order to determine whether there are sufficient grounds for continuation of suspension beyond the period of two years.
- 3. Procedure:- (a) The Central Ministry's Review Committee while assessing the justification for further continuation of any suspension beyond the period of one year, where the member of the Service is placed on suspension on charges other than corruption, shall look into the progress of any enquiry or

⁷⁷ Inserted vide Notification No.11018/3/2004-AIS-III dt.30.09.2009 (GSR No.714(E) dt. 30.09.2009)

 $^{^{78}}$ Substituted vide Notification No. 11018/1/2013-AIS-III, dt. 21.12.2015 (GSR No. 1001(E) dt. 23.12.2015)

investigation against the member of the Service by obtaining relevant information from the authorities enquiring or investigating into the charges;

- (b) The Central Ministry's Review Committee while assessing the justification for further continuation of any suspension beyond the period of two years, where the member of the Service is placed on suspension on charges of corruption, shall look into the progress of any enquiry or investigation against the member of the Service by obtaining relevant information from the authorities enquiring or investigating into the charges:
- (c) The Central Ministry's Review Committee shall satisfy itself that the delay has occurred for reasons beyond the control of the disciplinary authority and reinstatement of the officer may result in his tampering with the evidence or otherwise influencing the process of enquiry or investigation;
- (d) The Central Ministry's Review Committee shall submit a detailed report to the Central Government, clearly stating its recommendations and the reasons for arriving at the conclusions relating to the continuance of suspension.

STANDARD FORMS

{see rule 3(9)}

Note:-

- 1. The order should be signed by the competent authority himself.
- 2. Where an order has to be made in the name of the President of India/Governor of a State, the phrase 'By order and in the name of the President/Governor or State of' should be inserted above the signatures. Such an order/communication should be signed by an officer in the appropriate Ministry/Department who is authorised under the Constitution to authenticate the orders on behalf of the President of India/Governor of a State.
- 3. The form should not be used mechanically. Wherever necessary, suitable modifications should be made in the form to meet the requirements of a particular case.

FORM I

STANDARD FORM FOR ORDER OF SUSPENSION ORDER

Whereas a disciplinary proceeding against Shri... (name and designation) is contemplated/pending under investigation/ Inquiry/trial.

Whereas a case against Shri......(name and Designation) in respect of a criminal offence is contemplated/pending under investigation/ Inquiry/trial.

Now, therefore, the(Authority competent to place under suspension), in exercise of powers conferred by clause(a) of sub-rule (i) of rule 3 of the All India

Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules,1969, hereby places the said Shri......under suspension with immediate effect.

It is further ordered that during the period that this order shall remain in force, the Headquarters of Shri shall be......(name of place and the said Shri shall not leave the said Headquarters without obtaining the permission of the undersigned.

Signature

Name and Designation of the suspending authority

No..... Dated, the....

Copy to Shri (name and designation): Order regarding subsistence allowance admissible to him during the period of his suspension will issue separately.

Note: Copies should be endorsed to the Pay and Accounts Officer who authorizes the drawal of his salary; to the Cash and Accounts Section of the Department; to the Establishment Section for making an entry in the Service Book; to the Appointing Authority, if the order is made by some other authority; and to the Lending Authority in the case of borrowed officer. The reasons for suspension should be communicated to the Appointing Authority and the Lending Authority, separately, through confidential letters.

FORM II

STANDARD FORM FOR ORDER OF DEEMED SUSPENSION ORDER

WHEREAS a case against Shri........ (name and designation of the member of the service) in respect of a criminal offence is under investigation/inquiry/trial; AND WHEREAS the said Shriwas detained in custody on for a period exceeding forty—eight hours;

NOW, THEREFORE, the said Shri.... is deemed to have been placed under suspension by an order of the appointing authority w.e.f in terms of sub rule.....of rule 3 of the All India Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1969 until further orders.

Sig	na	tur	_							
Siu	IIIa	ιui	ᠸ.	 	 	 		 		

Name and designation of the Appointing authority

Copy to Shri(name and designation). Order regarding subsistence allowance admissible to him during the period of his suspension will issue separately.

Note:— Copies should be endorsed to the Pay and Accounts Officer who authorizes the drawal of his salary; to the cash and Accounts Section of the Department; to the Establishment Section for making an entry in the Service Book; to the Appointing Authority, if the order is made by some other authority; and to the Lending Authority in the case of borrowed officer. The reasons for suspension should be communicated to the Appointing Authority and the Lending Authority, separately, through confidential letters.

FORM III

STANDARD FORM FOR REVOCATION OF ORDER OF SUSPENSION

ORDER

WHEREAS an order suspension, was made/was		,		,.	under
Now, therefore, the Pre Rule(here mention the with immediate effect.		•	•		•
Name and designation	of the authority o	competent to rev	oke the (•	gnature
G	or the duthonty c	·		•	CHOIGH
No		Dated,	the		

Copy to Shri.....(name, designation and address of the officer during suspension).

Copies should also be endorsed to the Treasury Officer/Pay and Accounts Officer, to the Cash and Accounts Section of the Department; to the Establishment Section for making an entry in the Service books to the Appointing Authority, if the order is made by some other authority; and to the lending authority (in case of a borrowed officer).

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA'S INSTRUCTIONS/DECIONS/ORDERS

(I) GOVERNMENT OF INDIA'S INSTRUCTIONS TO STATE GOVERNMENTS FURNISHIG THE REVISED PROFORMA FOR TIMELY AND COMPLETE REFERENCE TO UPSC

I am directed to invite a reference to letter No. 6/6/72—AIS(III), dated the 8th January, 1973 issued by the Department of Personnel on the subject mentioned above, and to say that as a sequel to the amendment of Article 311(2) of the Constitution and the provisions contained in Rule 9, 19 and 24, of the All India Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1969 it is no longer necessary to issue a show cause notice in cases where the disciplinary authority proposes to impose any of the major penalties or where it is proposed to enhance an existing penalty. As a result some changes in the proforma to be used for consulting the Union Public Service Commission have become necessary. It has also been found necessary to make certain modifications in items 1, 2, 4, 7, 12 and 13 of the proforma. Revised proforma which may hereafter be used for consulting the U.P.S.C. is forwarded herewith.

2. It has been observed by the Commission that, even though the proforma clearly provides that it should be signed by an officer of the State Government etc. making the reference, in some cases the proforma is forwarded to the Commission without ensuring that entries contained herein are appropriate and reflect the correct position. The importance of making correct entries in the proforma cannot be over emphasised. It is, therefore, requested that it may kindly be ensured that reference to the Commission in disciplinary cases are made to the Commission in the prescribed proforma complete in all respect, duly signed by an officer of the State Government.

[D.P & A.R. letter No. 11018/7/82—AIS (III), dated the 13th July, 1983.]

*ANNEXURE

Particulars	Commission
	with letter No
Date	
*1. Nar	me of accused officer and the Service to which he belongs.
*2. (i)	Whether confirmed in the Service.
(ii)	Date of such confirmation.

^{*} Inserted vide DP & AR Notification No.6/9/72-AIS(III) dated 05.07.1972 (GSR No.872, dt.19.07.1975)

3.	Post	Post held:					
	(a)	Des	ignation.				
	(b)	Sca	le of Pay.				
	(c)	c) Pay Drawn.					
	(d)		e from which Pay shown against (c) drawn.				
4.	Date of next increment.						
5.	Date of Birth.						
6.	Date	of J	loining Govt. Service.				
7.	. Date when due to retire or date of actual retirement in case of persons who have already retired.						
8.	(a)		Amount of monthly pension admissible.				
		(ii)	Amount of monthly pension sanctioned.				
	(b)	(i)	Amount of gratuity admissible				
		(ii)	Amount of gratuity sanctioned.				
	(This information is required only in respect of cases of recovery from withholding of pension/special additional pension)						
9	(a)	Арр	ointing authority.				
	(b)	Pun	ishing authority.				
	(c)	App	ellate authority.				
10.	Whe		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11.	Nam		nd designation of the Enquiry Officer, appointed, if any.				
12.	have forw	ther bee	all the relevant documents, in original, particularly the following en enclosed with the letter seeking the Commission's advice/lettering the case to the Central Government in the case of certain majors, appeals and memorials).				

(A) In the case of original enquiries:

- (i) Papers relating to preliminary enquiry, if any. In case the preliminary enquiry as done by S.P.E. their report together with all the depositions recorded by them.
- (ii) Suspension order, if any.
 - (a) Order revoking suspension, if any.
- (iii) Order of the Competent Authority for joint / common proceedings. Where issued, if two or more Govt. servants are involved in the case.
- (iv) Charge-sheet with the statement of imputations of misconduct or misbehaviour and other enclosures.
- (v) Reply of the accused officer to the charge sheet.
- (vi) Order of appointment of the Inquiry Officer.
- (vii) Order appointing the Presenting officer.
- (viii) Record of the oral inquiry:
 - (a) Daily order sheet
 - (b) Correspondence file of the Inquiry officer.
 - (c) Depositions.
 - (d) Questions put to the accused officer by the I.O
 - (e) Statement of defence before the Inquiry officer
 - (f) Written briefs of:
 - (i) the Presenting Officer.
 - (ii) the Charged Officer.
 - (g) Inquiry Officers' report.
- (ix) Miscellaneous documents regarding evidence such as exhibits, statements etc. referred to in items (i) to (viii) above.
- (x) Sanction of the Central Govt. for institution of departmental proceedings, where necessary.
- (xi) Show cause notice for withdrawing/withholding the pension.
- (xii) Reply of the accused officer to the show cause notice
- (B) In the case of appeals:

In addition to the documents specified under (A) above, the following:

- (i) Order of the punishing authority.
- (ii) Appeal, if any, of the accused officer.
- (iii) Comments on the appeal as required under rule18(4) of the A.I.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1969.
- (C) In the case of Memorials: or Suo Motu Review

In addition to the documents specified under (A) & (B) above, the following:

		(i) Orders, if any, on the appeal.
		(ii) Memorial, if any, from the accused officer.
		(iii) Note indicating the reasons for modifying the existing order of penalty and precise extent of such modification.
	13.	Miscellaneous documents such as extracts of relevant Rules, Codes Acts, Judgements, Manuals etc., referred to in the charge-sheet, statement of allegations, statement of defence, Inquiring Authority's Report, reply to show cause notice, appeal, State Government's comments.
	14.	In case where no enquiry has been held and factual and procedural points have been raised in the officer's explanation.
		A note explaining such points.
	15.	Whether comments on procedural points, if any raised by the officer in his explanation to the charge sheet/reply to show cause notice/appeal, have been given.
	16.	Whether complete and up to date confidential roll of the officer has been enclosed.
	·	Signature
Name i	n Blo	ock Letters of officer of the State Govt. signing the statement:
		Designation
		Date
		Telephone Number
II	INS	TRUCTIONS TO STATE GOVERNMENTS TO KEEP IN MIND THE

- II INSTRUCTIONS TO STATE GOVERNMENTS TO KEEP IN MIND THE JUDGEMENT OF SOME COURT CASES ON THE QUESTION OF STANDARD OF PROOF IN DEPARTMENTAL PROCEEDINGS.
- 1. A copy of the Ministry of Home Affairs' D.O. Letter No. 24/25/62-AVD, dated 26-5-1962 along with extracts from some judgements of High Courts which were circulated among Vigilance Officers to apprise them of the establishment law on the question of "standard of proof" in departmental enquiries against Government servants is enclosed. The same issue came up for consideration before the Supreme Court in the case of India Vs. Sardar Bahadur (1972). A copy of the judgement of the Supreme Court in this case is also enclosed.

- 2. It is requested that State Governments may kindly keep in mind the observations of the Supreme Court, especially the observations of the Court reproduced below, while dealing with disciplinary cases against the members of the All India Services:—
 - (i) "A disciplinary proceedings is not a criminal trial. The standard of proof required is that of preponderance of probability and not proof beyond reasonable doubt."
 - (ii) "A finding cannot be characterised as perverse or unsupported by any relevant materials if it is a reasonable inference from proved facts." This observation has been made in the context of the facts as stated in para 14 of the judgement.
 - (iii) Where there are some relevant materials which the authority has accepted and which materials may reasonably support the conclusion that the officer is guilty, it is not the function of the High Court exercising its jurisdiction under Article 226 to review the materials and to arrive at an independent finding on the materials. If the enquiry has been properly held the question of adequacy or reliability of the evidence cannot be canvassed before the High Court.
 - (iv) "Now it is settled by the decision of this Court in State of Orissa V. Vidyabhushan Mahapatra (6) that if the order of punishing authority can be supported on any finding as to substantial misdemeanour for which the punishment can be imposed, it is not for the Court to consider whether charge proved alone would have weighed with the authority in imposing the punishment. The Court is not concerned to decide whether the punishment imposed, provided it is justified by the rules, is appropriate having regard to the misdemeanour established."

[D.P. & A.R. letter No. 11018/2/75—AIS(III), dated 30th June, 1975.]

Copy of D.O. No. 24/75/62-AVD, dated 26-5-1962.

- 1. You may perhaps be aware of the decisions of certain courts in which it has been held that officers holding inquiries in departmental proceedings are not under any obligation to follow strictly the rules of evidence as laid down in the Evidence Act or the procedure prescribed in the Criminal Procedure Code. In this connection, I forward herewith relevant extracts from the judgements of the courts for your information.
- 2. I shall be grateful, if you would kindly bring these decisions to the notice of all authorities dealing with disciplinary cases, as the principle of these decisions, if followed, should enable the competent authority to deal with disciplinary cases more expeditiously. ********* (All Vigilance Officers)

III. VIOLATION OF RULE 20 OF THE AIS(CONDUCT) RULES, 1968 REGARDING CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOL BEVERAGES IS A GOOD AND SUFFICIENT REASON FOR TAKING DISCIPLINARY ACTION

1. The provisions contained in rule 20 of the All India Services (Conduct) Rules, 1968, are of special importance in the context of the latest endeavor to reduce the consumption of alcoholic beverages and drugs. While it is expected that every member of the All India Services will scrupulously adhere to the provisions of the All India Services (Conduct) Rules, 1968, mentioned above, it is also expected of the disciplinary

authorities to keep a strict watch on the conduct of members of the All India Services in regard to matters covered by the aforesaid Rules. Violation of any of the provisions of rule 20 of the All India Services (Conduct) Rules, 1968 will constitute a good and sufficient reason for taking disciplinary action against a member of the All India Service. While any of the penalties specified in rule 6 of the All India Service (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1969 can be imposed on a member of an All India Service for good and sufficient reasons after following the prescribed procedure, the disciplinary authorities should take a very serious view of any violation of rule 20 of the All India Services (Conduct) Rules, 1968,and should not hesitate to impose the severest punishment on such members of the All India Services who are proved guilty of violating the said Rule.

2. I am to request that the contents of this letter may be brought to the notice of all members of the All India Services working under the State Government.

[Extract of letter No. 11017/1/76—AIS(III), dated 5-2-76.]

- IV. PROVIDING LEGAL AND FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO RETIRED GOVERNMENT SERVANT-EXTENSION OF GOI O.M.No. 28022/1/75— Estt.(A), DATED THE 20^{TH} JANUARY, 1977 TO MEMBERS OF THE ALL INDIA SERVICES.
- 1. The instructions contained in this Department's Office Memorandum No. 28022/1/75—Estt.(A), dated the 20th January, 1977, are extended to the retired members of the All India Services.

[letter No. 11018/3/77-AIS(III), dated 29-4-77.]

(i) Copy of D.P. & A.R. letter No. 28022/1/75—Estt.(A), dated 20th January, 1977

- 1. A question has been raised whether, and if so, under what circumstances, Government should provide legal and financial assistance to a retired Government servant for the conduct of legal proceedings instituted against him by a private party in respect of matters connected with his official duties or position before his retirement. This has been considered by the Government and it has been decided that the provisions contained in paragraph 2(c) of the Ministry of Home Affairs' O.M.No. 45/5/53-Estt(A), dated 8th January, 1959. (Copy enclosed) should be extended also to be retired Government servants. Accordingly, the provisions contained in the aforesaid paragraph, with the exception of the provision regarding grant of advance from Provident Fund, will apply also to Government servants who have retired from service other than those who have been compulsorily retired from service as a measure of punishment. Further, the amount of interest free advance that may be granted to a retired Government servant will be subject to a maximum limit of Rs. 500/-.
- 2. The form of declaration to be obtained from a retired Government servant when the Government undertakes his defence and the form of Bond to be obtained from him, if advance is granted to cover legal expenses, are enclosed as Annexure 'A' and 'B' to this Office Memorandum.
- 3. The provisions regarding consultation with Union Public Service Commission and the authority competent to take decision in each case will be the same as those contained in Ministry of Home Affairs Office Memorandum dated 8th January, 1959.

(ii) Copy of MHA O.M. No. 45/5/53—Est(A), dated 8-1-59.

1. A question has been raised whether, and if so, under what circumstances, Government should provide legal and financial assistance to a Government servant for the conduct of legal proceedings by or against him. The following decisions which have been taken in consultation with the Ministries of Law and Finance and the Comptroller and Auditor General are circulated for information and guidance.

2. (a) Proceedings initiated by Government in respect of matters connected with official duties or position of the Government servant.

Government will not give any assistance to a Government servant for his defence in any proceedings, civil or criminal instituted against him by the State in respect of matters arising out of or connected with his official duties or his official position. Should, however the proceedings conclude in favour of the Government servant, Government will entertain his claim for reimbursement of costs incurred by him for his defence, and if Government are satisfied from the facts and circumstances of the case that the Government servant was subjected to the strain of the proceedings without proper justification they will consider whether the whole or any reasonable proportion of the expenses incurred by the Government servant for his defence should be reimbursed to him.

(b) Proceedings in respect of matters not connected with official duties or position of the Government servant.

Government will not give any assistance to a Government servant or reimburse the expenditure incurred by him in the conduct of proceedings in respect of matters not arising out of, or connected with, his official duties or his official position, irrespective of whether the proceedings were instituted by a private party against the Government servant or vice versa.

(c) Proceedings instituted by a private party against a Government servant in respect of matters connected with his official duties or position.

- (i) If the Government on consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case consider that it will be in the public interest that Government should themselves undertake the defence of the Government servant in such proceedings and if the Government servant agrees to such a course, the Government servant should be required to make a statement in writing as in **Annexure A** and thereafter Government should make arrangements for the conduct of the proceedings as if the proceedings had been instituted against Government.
- (ii) If the Government servant proposes to conduct his defence in such proceedings himself, the question of reimbursement of reasonable costs incurred by him for his defence may be considered in case the proceedings conclude in his favour. In determining the amount or costs to be so reimbursed, Government will consider how far the Court has vindicated the acts of the Government servant. The conclusion of proceedings in

favour of the Government servant will not by itself justify reimbursement.

To enable the Government servant to meet the expenses of his defence, Government may sanction, at their discretion, an interest free advance not exceeding Rs.500/- or the Government servant's substantive pay for three months, whichever is greater, after obtaining from the Government servant a bond in the form reproduced as **Annexure B**. The amount advanced would be subject to adjustment against the amount, if any, to be reimbursed as above.

The Government servant may also be granted any advance from any Provident Fund to which he is a subscriber not exceeding three months' pay or one half of the balance standing to his credit, whichever is less. This advance will be repayable in accordance with the rules of the Fund.

(d) Proceedings instituted by a Government servant of his being required by Government to vindicate his official conduct.

A Government servant may be required to vindicate his conduct in a Court of Law in certain circumstances, [vide Ministry of Home Affairs O.M. No. F. 25/32/54-Estt(A), dated 8th January, 1959]. The question whether costs incurred by the Government servant in such cases should be reimbursed by the Government and if so; to what extent should be left over for consideration in the light of the result of the proceedings. Government may, however, sanction an interest-free advance, in suitable instalments, of any amount to be determined by them in each case on the execution of a bond by the Government servant in the form reproduced in **Annexure B**.

In determining the amount of costs to be reimbursed on the conclusion of the proceedings, the Government will consider to what extent the Court has vindicated acts of the Government servant in the proceedings. Conclusion of the proceedings in favour of the Government servant will not by itself justify reimbursement.

(e) Proceedings instituted by a Government servant suo motu, with the previous sanction of Government to vindicate his conduct arising out of or connected with his official duties or position.

If a Government servant resorts to a Court of Law with the previous sanction of Government to vindicate his conduct arising out of or connected with his official duties or position, though not required to do so by Government, he will not ordinarily be entitled to any assistance but Government may, in deserving cases, sanction advances in the manner indicated in sub-para c(ii) above, but no part of the expenses incurred by the Government servant will be reimbursed to him even if he succeeds in the proceedings.

- 3. Clause (d) of article 320(3) of the Constitution requires consultation with the Union Public Service Commission on any claim of Government servant for the reimbursement of the costs incurred by him in defending legal proceedings instituted against him in respect of acts done or purporting to be done in the execution of his duty. In other cases consultation with the Union Public Service Commission is not obligatory, but it will be open to Government to seek the Commission's advice, if considered necessary.
- 4. The question whether a case falls under article 320(3)(d) of the Constitution so as to require consultation with the Commission may at times be difficult to determine. It may be stated generally that the consultation is obligatory in a case where a reasonable connection exists between the act of the Government servant and the discharge of his official duties. The act must bear such relation to the official duties that the Government servant could lay a reasonable but not a pretended or a fanciful claim that he did it in the course of the performance of his duties.
- 5. The appropriate authority for taking decision in each case will be the administrative Ministry of the Government of India concerned who will consult the Finance and Law Ministries, where necessary. The Comptroller and Auditor General of India will exercise the powers of an administrative Ministry in respect of the personnel of the Indian Audit and Accounts Department.
- 6. In so far as persons serving in the Indian Audit and Accounts Department are concerned, these orders are issued in consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor General.

ANNEXURE A

(Here enter description of the proceedings)

The Government of India having been pleased to undertake my defence in the above proceedings, I hereby agree to render such assistance to Government as may be required for my defence and further agree that I shall not hold Government in any way responsible if the proceedings end in a decision adverse to me.

Date		
	Signature of the	retired Government servant.
	ANNEXURE B	
BY THIS BOND ⁷⁹ I		a retired
Government servant at prese	ent residing at	having taken an
advance of Rs	(Rupees	only) from the
President of India (hereinafter	called the "Government") pror	nise and undertake to refund
and pay to the Governmen	nt the said sum of Rs	in

⁷⁹ Here give the name and other particulars of the retired Government servant including the post held by him before retirement

⁸⁰ equal	monthly _			instaln	nent		of Rs.
	_ payable	by the	10th o	f every	month	commencing	g from
ε	31						
2. And I agree that due date, the entire baladue and payable by me form the date on which Government shall have t	ance of the to the Gove the balance	e amount ernment a e of the a	t then re and if I fa amount	emaining ail to pay thus bec	due sh the san omes d	all at once b ne within six r ue for payme	ecome months ent, the
Dated this		day of _			20 .		
		(5	Signatur	e of the i	retired G	Sovernment s	ervant)
Witness to signature	Э.						
Accepted.							
1							
2							
						⁸² Sig	gnature
						_	nation)
			for an	d on beh	alf of th	e President o	f India.

V. CONDITIONS PRESCRIBED FOR A MEMBER OF THE SERVICE TO TAKE THE ASSISTANCE OF A RETIRED GOVERNMENT SERVANT.

1. In pursuance of the provision contained in clause(b) of sub-rule (9) of rule 8 of the All India Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1969, the President hereby prescribes the following conditions subject to which a member of the Service may take the assistance of a retired Government servant to present the case on his behalf:

Sub para (i) & (ii)—Deleted⁸³

- (i) No retired Government servant can take up more than three cases at a time. At the time of appearance before the Inquiry Officer, the retired Government servant should certify that he has only three cases on hand at that time.
- (ii) A retired Government servant cannot assist a member of an All India Service in disciplinary proceedings after the expiry of three years from the

⁸⁰ Here mention the number of instalments

⁸¹ Here mention the date of commencement of the first installment.

⁸² Here mention the designation of the officer who is authorized to execute the bond under article 299(!) of the constitution.

⁸³ Vide DP&T letter No.11018/5/86-AIS(III)dated 20.01.1987

- date of retirement. The retired Government servant should produce before the Inquiry Officer, a declaration regarding his date of retirement.
- (iii) If the retired Government servant is also a legal practitioner, the restrictions on engaging a legal practitioner by a delinquent Government servant to present the case on his behalf as contained in rule 8(9) of the All India Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1969, would apply.
- (iv) In the matter of payment of travelling and other expenses to the retired Government servant assisting a member of the Service in disciplinary proceedings, the instructions contained in the Ministry of Home Affairs Office Memorandum No. 16/122/56—AVD, dated the 18th August, 1960 will apply. The retired Govt. servant concerned will be deemed to belong to the grade of Government servants to which he belonged immediately before his retirement, for the purpose of these instructions. The expenditure on account of travelling and other expenses will be borne by the State Government/ Department to which the delinguent Govt. servant belongs.

Explanation.—Any person, who has retired from service under the Central Government, or the Government of a State or a Union Territory may be engaged by the member of the Service to assist him in the disciplinary proceedings.

2. The decision contained in this letter may be brought to the notice of all concerned.

[DP&AR, letter No. 11018/12/78—AIS(III), dated the 14th August, 1978.]

VI. GUIDELINES TO BE FOLLOWED FOR ADHERING THE TIME LIMITS OF COMPLETION OF VARIOUS STAGES OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS FOR EXPEDITIOUS DISPOSAL

- 1. Time limits for completing certain stages of inquiry into charges against members of the All India Services are laid down in sub-rule (8) and (12) of rule 8 of the All India Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1969. However, experience has shown that very often, disciplinary proceedings are inordinately delayed. It is felt that if the guidelines laid down below are followed, it will ensure expeditious disposal of disciplinary cases.
- 2. Sub-rule (8) of rule 8 provides that a member of the Service shall be required to appear in person before the Inquiring Authority at any time prescribed after the expiry of 10 working days from the date of the receipt of the charge-sheet. It would therefore, be justified if the charged officer is given not more than 10 days for submitting his written statement of defence in reply to the charge-sheet under sub-rule (5) of rule 8 ibid.
- 2. 1The statement of defence under rule 8(5) ibid is expected to be limited simply to admitting or denying the charges communicated to the officer, and for such admission or denial inspection of documents is not necessary. Therefore, a request for inspection of documents at this stage made by the delinquent officer may not be accepted and it may be explained to the officer that he would get full opportunity to inspect the listed documents during the course of inquiry as per rule 8(12) ibid.
- 2.2. Although no time limit, as such, has been stipulated for the admission of the report by the Inquiry Officer after completion of the oral inquiry, ordinarily it should be possible for an Inquiry Officer to submit the inquiry report within a period of one month from the conclusion of the inquiry proceedings.

- 2.3 If these time limits and principles are assiduously observed, the period from the date of serving a charge-sheet in a disciplinary case to the submission of the report by the Inquiring Officer should ordinarily not exceed six months.
- 3. After submission of the Inquiry Report by the Inquiring Officer, where the State Government comes to the conclusion that a major penalty may be imposed on an officer, they may issue a show cause notice to the officer, or remit the case to the Central Government under rule 8(22) (a) ibid, as the case may be, within one to one and a half months from the receipt of the inquiry report. In cases where the State Government considers that a minor penalty would be enough, a reference to the U.P.S.C. may also be made for their advice, within one to one and a half months of the receipt of the inquiry report.
- 4. While processing disciplinary cases against members of the All India Services, the guidelines mentioned above may be kept in view for completion of inquiries promptly. The State Government may also consider the desirability of issuing suitable instructions and that where a case is delayed at a particular stage beyond the time-limit stipulated for that stage, it be reported to the next higher authority with a statement of reasons for the delay.

[D.P. & A.R. letter No. 11018/7/78—AIS(III), dated 16-8-1978.]

VII. PROCEDURE TO BE FOLLOWED UNDER RULE 8(20) OF THE AIS(D&A) RULES

- 1. I am directed to forward herewith a copy of this Department's Office Memorandum No. 11012/18/77—Ests(A), dated the 2nd September, 1978 and to state that rule 14(19) of C.C.S. (C.C. & A) Rules, 1965 corresponds to sub rule (20) of rule 8 of the All India Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1969.
- 2. The clarification contained therein may please be brought to the notice of all concerned. With regard to its application in respect of sub-rule (20) of rule 8 of the AIS (D&A) Rules, 1969.

[Letter No. 11018/19/78—AIS (III), dated 15th January, 1979.]

Office Memorandum No. 11012/18/77—Est(A), dated 2nd September, 1978.

SUBJECT: CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965—Procedure to be followed under rule 14(19) thereof.

- 1. The undersigned is directed to say that according to rule 14(19) of the CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 the inquiring authority may, after the completion of the production of evidence, hear the Presenting Officer, if any appointed, and the Government servant or permit them to file written briefs of their respective cases, if they so desire. With reference to this rule, a question has been raised whether the written brief filed by the Presenting Officer should be made available to the accused Government Servant before he files his own written brief. The matter has been examined in consultation with the Ministry of Law and the position is explained in the succeeding paragraph.
- 2. It will be seen from the phraseology of rule 14(19) that the inquiring authority has to hear arguments that may be advanced by the parties after their evidence has been closed. But he can, on his own or on the desire of the parties, take written briefs. In case he exercises the discretion of taking written briefs, it will be but fair that he should first

take the brief from the Presenting Officer, supply a copy of the same to the Government Servant and then take the reply brief from the Government Servant. In case the copy of the brief of the Presenting Officer is not given to the Government Servant, it will be like hearing arguments of the Presenting Officer at the back of the Government servant. In this connection, attention is also invited to the judgment of the Calcutta High Court in the case of Collector of Customs Vs. Mohd Habibul (SLR 1973 (1) Calcutta, 321) in which it is laid down that the requirement of rule 14(19) of the CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 and the principles of natural justice demanded that the delinquent officer should be served with a copy of the written brief filed by the Presenting Officer before he is called upon to file his written brief.

3. Ministry of Finance etc., are requested to bring the above clarification to the notice of all concerned authorities under their control.

VIII. PROCEDURE FOR INTIMATION OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE OFFICERS OF THE ALL INDIA SERVICES SERVING UNDER THE GOVT. OF INDIA AND ORGANIZATIONS UNDER THE GOVT. OF INDIA.

- 1. Rule 7 of the AIS(Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1969 stipulates the authority competent to institute proceedings and to impose penalty on the members of the All India Services. The said rule vests this authority either with the State Government or with the Central Government depending upon the circumstances explained therein. Powers of the Central Government in respect of members of the IAS while they are on deputation with the Central Government are exercised by the Department of Personnel & Training and in respect of Indian Police Service and IFS Ministry of Home Affairs and Ministry of Environment & Forests respectively.
- 2. With a view to avoiding delay in the processing of cases in respect of members of All Service pertaining to the period of their central deputation the following procedure may be followed while forwarding such cases to the cadre controlling authority, namely Department of Personnel & Training/MHA/ Ministry of Environment & Forests, as the case may be:—
 - (i) Any proposal to place an officer under suspension should be sent to the Cadre controlling Department only with the approval of the Minister in charge of the Department/Ministry administratively concerned.
 - (ii) Any proposal to initiate disciplinary proceedings should be forwarded only after a decision has been taken at the level of the Minister in charge of the Department/Ministry after obtaining the preliminary explanation of the officer and after considering the same. In cases having a vigilance angle, administrative Ministries/Departments are also required to consult the Central Vigilance Commission and obtain its first stage advice before submitting the papers to the Minister.
 - (iii) If an officer is transferred to another Ministry/Department, the Ministry concerned where the alleged misconduct was committed by the officer will have to take a view on the case in the light of the facts of the case and the explanation of the concerned officer at the level of the Minister before forwarding the case records for further necessary action. They must also give an intimation in this regard to the Ministry where the officer may be working for the time being.

- (iv) Where it is proposed to initiate disciplinary proceedings, the proposal shall always be accompanied by a draft charge sheet along with imputations of misconduct and two sets of certified list of documents.
- (v) In cases where it is decided not to formally proceed against an officer but only to convey a caution/waning/displeasure of the Government, this will be communicated to the officer by the Ministry/Department concerned, through the administrative Ministry where he may be working at that time and two copies of the same shall be endorsed to the respective cadre controlling Department for record.
- (vi) In a case, where there is no full fledged investigation by the CBI and where formal action for major penalty is instituted by the concerned cadre controlling Ministry after due consideration of a proposal received from the administrative Ministry or otherwise, the administrative Ministry shall also nominate an officer who is well versed with the facts of the case for being appointed as the Presenting Officer.
- (vii) All communications meant for the officers proceeded against would be served through the Ministry/Department where the officer is working for the time being.
- 3. It may be ensured that the above procedure is followed while referring cases of members of the All India Services to the cadre controlling Ministries namely, Department of Personnel & Training, Ministry of Home Affairs and Ministry of Environment & Forests, for initiation of Disciplinary Proceedings under the AIS(D&A) Rules.

[O.M. No.11018/3/98—AIS(III) the 9th June 1995 of Ministry of Personnel, PG.& D/o Pension (D/o Personnel & Trg.)]

IX. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE MEMBERS OF THE ALL INDIA SERVICES MAY BE CLOSED IN THE EVENT OF HIS/HER DEATH DURING THE PENDENCY OF ENQUIRY

- 1. I am directed to say that this Department has been receiving references seeking clarifications whether disciplinary cases initiated under the All India Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1969 should be closed in the event of the death of the accused member of the All India Services during pendency of the proceedings.
- 2. After careful consideration of the issues involved, it has been decided that where a member of the All India Service dies during the pendency of enquiry, i.e. without charges being proved against him, imposition of any of the penalties prescribed under the All India Service (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1969, would not be justifiable. Therefore, disciplinary proceeding should be closed immediately on the death of the alleged member of the Service.

[DOPT letter No. 11018/1/99-AIS(III) dated 14.5.1999]

X. EXTRACTS OF THE JUDGEMENTS OF HIGH COURTS ON DEPARTMENTAL PROCEEDINGS

Extracts from judgements of High Courts

A.I.R. 1958 Punjab 27.

It has been argued on behalf of the petitioner that an Inquiry Officer performs in the course of inquiry quasi—judicial functions and the proceedings held by him are in the nature of criminal or at least quasi—criminal proceeding, and, therefore, he must comply, at least in substance, with the provisions of S.173(4) of the Criminal Procedure Code.

It is, therefore, necessary to determine the nature of the inquiry held under the Punjab Civil Services (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1952. It is stated before me that the charges which the petitioner has been called upon to meet are in substance the same as will be covered by S.5(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, and Sections 161 and 109 of the Indian Penal Code, and I shall decide this case on this assumption.

Rule 7(2) lays down the procedure, which should be observed in the course of an inquiry.

The Punjab Civil Services Rules are only statutory rules regulating terms of service between the Government and its employees. The identical Rules called the Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules and also the provisions of the Public Servants (Inquiries) Act, 1850, were discussed by their Lordships of the Supreme Court in S.A. Venkataraman V/s Union of India, A.I.R. 1954 SC 375 (A).

Their Lordships held that the purpose of such an inquiry is merely to hold the Govt. to come to a definite conclusion regarding the conduct of a Government servant and to decide what penalty, if any, should be imposed upon him. There is no other purpose which is served y this inquiry. The Inquiry Officer is appointed merely to find facts and it is clear from the Rules that it is not the Inquiry officer's concern whether the facts established disclosed the commission of a criminal offence punishable under the Indian Penal Code or any other law, or they disclose liability to imposition of penalties like censure or reduction in rank, or dismissal.

He merely sends his report to the proper authority who may or may not accept his conclusions on facts found by him on the evidence produced before him. In these circumstances it is impossible to hold that proceedings before the Inquiry Officer are of criminal or quasi-criminal nature. Obviously such proceedings cannot be said to be criminal proceedings governed by the terms and provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code. The Inquiry Officer is not a Court within the Criminal Procedure Code, nor is the Govt. servant accused of any offence, nor is he liable to be sentenced for the commission of an offence under any penal law.

These proceedings cannot be said to be of quasi-criminal in nature because the ultimate effect of these proceedings at the most is dismissal of the Government servant from service and the imposition of this penalty cannot be held to be of criminal nature. There is no provision in these rules which makes it incumbent on the Inquiry Officer to hold enquiry in accordance with the procedure laid down in the Criminal Procedure Code or to observe the provisions of S. 173(4) of that Code.

In this view of the matter it cannot possibly be held that the Inquiry officer is bound to see that the provisions of Section 173(4) are observed before he proceeds to record evidence in the inquiry. If an Inquiry Officer refuses to comply with the provisions of Section 173(4), then it cannot be held that it is liable to be set aside by this Court in the exercise of jurisdiction conferred upon it under Article 226 of the Constitution.

A.I.R. 1958, Allahabad

As a broad proposition of law that in a disciplinary enquiry the rules of procedure for a Court need not be observed and the rules of evidence need not be strictly followed cannot be disputed, but all the facts of the case will have to be examined. The breach of the rules of evidence which may be nothing but rules of natural justice may be relevant in connection with the question as to whether sufficient opportunity was or was not given to a petitioner in a particular case to show-cause against the charges.

A.I.R. 1958, Calcutta 470

It is true that so far as departmental proceedings are concerned, they are not governed by the Indian Evidence Act, in other words, the strict provisions laid down in the Indian Evidence Act are not applicable to departmental proceedings. Nevertheless, the proceedings are subject to rules of natural justice. The question, therefore, is as to what principle of natural justice is involved in such a case. The rules of natural justice are not codified and cannot be stated with exactitude. In departmental proceeding, it is unnecessary to import the strict procedure applicable to judicial trials. But where the departmental enquiry consists of the trial, which the punishing authority either by himself or through his delegate has a statutory duty to hear the delinquent or his witnesses, the procedure adopted is to a certain extent like a judicial trial and it is an open question as to whether such proceedings are purely administrative or are to be considered as quasi-judicial. Be that as it may, if the enquiring authority has the duty to come to a conclusion as to the guilt of the delinquent upon an evaluation or assessment of the evidence, then it is entirely necessary that he should be the person who should hear the evidence of the witnesses. It is impossible to evaluate the evidence of a witness taken on proxy because one of the salient features in such a proceeding is to observe the demeanor of the witness. As it has been said, even the devil doth not know the mind of man, and, therefore, to arrive at the truth, it is necessary not only to read the evidence but to see the demeanor of the person giving evidence, and where necessary to elicit answer to doubtful points. To any one conversant with such trials, it is but an elementary proposition that the demeanor of a witness is the most important element is assessing the value of his evidence. The evidence of a witness, which might sound all right on paper, may be rendered useless by observing his demeanor.

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

Before:— C.A. Vaidialingam, P. Jaganmohan Reddy and K.K. Mathew, JJ.

Civil Appeal No. 1758 of 1970 Decided on 28.10.1971.

Union of India.....(Appellant)

Versus

Sardar Bahadur.....(Respondent)

JUDGEMENT

MATHEW J.— This is an appeal by Special leave filed by the Union of India from the Judgement in appeal clause 10 of Letters Patent of the Delhi High Court confirming the decision of a learned Single Judge allowing Civil Writ No. 716—D of 1964 filed by the Respondent by quashing the order made by the President on 23rd April, 1963 compulsorily retiring the Respondent from service.

- 2. The Respondent, Shri Sardar Bahadur, was employed as a Section Officer in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry in the Steel and Cement Section (B) which along with other Sections like Industries Act and Industrial Polices etc. was under the control of Shri P.S. Sundaram, Deputy Secretary in that Ministry at that time.
- 3. In April, 1956, the Ministry invited applications for grant of licenses to set up steel re-rolling mills.
- 4. On June 14, 1956, one Shri Nand Kumar representing Messrs. Ram Sarup Mam Chand and M/s. Mam Chand and Company of Calcutta applied for five licenses to set up steel re-rolling Mills. He also handed over on June 23, 1956 to the respondent a cheque for Rs.2,500/- drawn on the Punjab Co-operative Bank Limited in favour of Shri P.S. Sundaram. The cheque was certified by the Bank as good for payment up to September 24, 1956. At the back of the cheque, there was a signature which purported to be that of Shri P.S. Sundaram. It may be noted at this stage that Shri P.S. Sundaram, the Deputy Secretary had denied the signature to be his. Above the signature the respondent wrote the words:—

"Please pay to Shri Sardar Bahadur".

Lower down the respondent wrote the following words:—

"Please collect and credit the amount into my account. First payee's endorsement may kindly be guaranteed on my behalf and risk".

This cheque was duly sent to the account of the respondent and the amount of Rs.2,500 was credited to his account in the State Bank of India, New Delhi.

- 5. The respondent was prosecuted by the Special Police Establishment on the allegations that the amount covered by the cheque was taken by him as illegal gratification for using his official position illegally and in a corruption manner in order to procedure licenses for Messrs. Ram Sarup Mam Chand of Calcutta who had filed applications in that behalf and that the signature of Shri P. S. Sundaram had been forged by him. The respondent was charged with offences punishable under Section 5(2) read with Section 5(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1947 and Sections 161, 467 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code. The respondent was acquitted of all the charges on June 20, 1960. Therefore, it was proposed to hold an inquiry against him under Rule 15 of the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1957 on the basis of the following charges:—
 - 1. "That he failed to in form Shri P.S. Sundaram, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi, that a cheque for Rs.2,500 in the name of Shri Sundaram had been issued by Shri and Kumar of Messrs. Ram Sarup Mam Chand and Messrs. Mam Chand & Company of Calcutta, whose applications for grant of licenses for establishing steel re-rolling mills were pending in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry. As security in connection with the said applications when he knew that no such deposit was to be made;
 - That he failed to inform Shri P.S. Sundaram that the said Shri Nand Kumar had given him a cheque bearing Shri Sundaram's signature and had asked him to deposit it in his account which he had done after asking the bank (instead of showing the cheque first to Shri Sundaram himself) to guarantee the said signature of Shri Sundaram; and

- 3. That he borrowed a sum of Rs.2,500 (the amount covered by the cheque referred to above) from the said Shri Nand Kumar, without obtaining previous sanction of the Government and placed himself under pecuniary obligations to the extent of Rs.2,500 and thereby also contravened rule 13(5) of the Civil Services (Conduct) Rues, 1955".
- 6. The enquiry was held and the Inquiring Officer found that the first two charges were not proved as the identity of P.S. Sundaram, the payee of the cheque, had not been established with Shri P.S. Sundaram, Deputy Secretary. But the Inquiring Officer found that the third charge has been proved.
- 7. The findings of the Inquiring Officer on the first two charges were not agreed to by the Deputy Secretary, Ministry of External Affairs, exercising the powers of the President. He found that all the charges had been proved. The President after consultation with the Union Public Service Commission passed an order on April 22, 1968 holding that the charge of gross mis-conduct and failure to maintain absolute integrity and devotion to duty as a Government servant had been substantially proved against the respondent and imposed the penalty of compulsory retirement on him. The respondent was directed to be retired from service with immediate effect.
- 8. It was this order, which was quashed by the Single Judge in the write petition filed by the respondent. The Letters Patent Appeal against the order filed by the Union of India before the Division Bench was dismissed.
- 9. It was contended on behalf of the appellant that the Inquiring Officer went wrong in finding that charges Nos. 1 and 2 had not been proved and that the President was right in holding that these charges had been proved and therefore, the High Court should have found that charges Nos. 1 and 2 were proved, as there was evidence to support the charges. It was contended that the Inquiring Officer wrongly rejected the copies of the statements of the witnesses examined in the criminal trial, which statements if admitted would have fully established the first two charges against the respondent. Counsel for the appellant argued that the provisions of the Evidence Act are not applicable to disciplinary proceedings and therefore the statements of the witnesses in the criminal trial ought to have been admitted and relied on for establishing the guilt of the respondent on the first two charges. Counsel relied on the following observations of Venkatrama lyer, J. in Union of India V. Verma(1).

"Now it is no doubt true that the evidence of the Respondent and his witnesses was not taken in the mode prescribed in the Evidence Act; but that Act has no application to enquiries conducted by tribunals even though they may be judicial in character. The law requires that such Tribunals should observe rules of natural justice in the conduct of the Inquiry and if they do so, their decision is not liable to be impeached on the ground that the procedure followed was not in accordance with that which obtains in Court of Law".

In M/s. Bareilly Electricity Supply Co. Ltd. V. The Workmen and others (2), the scope of the above, observation was considered and this is what Jaganmohan Reddy, J. said:

"But the application of principle of natural justice does not imply that what is not evidence can be acted upon. On the other than what it means is that no materials can be relied upon to establish a contested fact which are not spoken to by persons who are competent to speak about them and are subjected to cross-examination by the party against whom they are sought to be used. When a document is proceeded in a Court or

a Tribunal the questions that naturally arise is, is it a genuine document, what are its contents and are the statements contained therein true".

- 10. We do not think that the statements should have been received in evidence as the appellant had taken no step to produce the persons who made the statements for cross-examination of the respondent. It was the duty of the appellant to have produced these persons whose statements were sought to be proved for the cross-examination of the respondent. In State of Mysore V. S.S. Makasur (3), this Court said that the purpose of an examination in the presence of a party against whom an enquiry is made, is sufficient is recalled, that statement is put to him, and made known to the opposite party, and the witness is tendered for cross-examination by that party. As the persons whose statements were sought to be relied on were in Delhi and as they were not produced and tendered for cross-examination by the respondent, we think that the Inquiry Officer was right in refusing to act upon the statements relied on by the appellant. As there was no material before the Inquiry Officer to show that P.S. Sundaram, mentioned in that cheque is P.S. Sundaram, the Deputy Secretary, we think the High Court was justified in holding that these charges had not been proved.
- 11. Coming to charge No. 3 the Single Judge as well as the Division Bench said that although there was great deal of suspicion on the bona fides of the transaction in the respondent borrowing money from Nand Kumar, suspicion cannot take the place of proof. They, therefore, held that the charge has not been proved. The third charge, as already stated, was that the respondent borrowed Rs. 2,500 from Nand Kumar without obtaining the previous permission of the Government and placed himself under a pecuniary obligation to the extent of the amount and thus contravened the provisions of rule 13(5) of the Central Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1955 which reads:—
 - "(5) No Government servant shall, save in the ordinary course of business with a Bank or a firm of standing borrow money form or otherwise place himself under pecuniary obligation to any person within the local limits of his authority, or any other persons with whom he is likely to have official dealings, nor shall he permit any member of his family, except with the previous sanction of the Government, to enter into any such transactions. Provided that a Government servant may accept a purely temporary loan of small amount, free of interest from a personal friend or a relative or operate a credit account with a bona fide tradesman".
- 12. The Inquiring Officer found that the respondent had borrowed Rs.2,500 from Nand Kumar without obtaining the previous permission as required by Rule 13(5) and thereby contravened the provisions of the sub-rule. The learned Single Judge held that although it was proved that the money was borrowed and the respondent placed himself under pecuniary obligation to Nand Kumar, there was no evidence nor had it been found either by the Inquiry Officer or by the President that Nand Kumar was a person with whom the respondent was likely to have official dealings. He further said that the evidence of Shri P.S.Sundaraman was quite clear that the application for licence of M/s. Ram Sarup Mam Chand was received in the Industries Act Section which are called I.A. (I) Section whereas the petitioner was working in the Steel & Cement Section where the copies of these applications started coming only in July, 1956 and so in June 1956 when the cheque was issued it was not possible to see how in the absence of any other evidence the petitioner could be regarded as being in a position where Nand Kumar was likely to have official dealing with him in the matter of the grant of the licenses. The Division Bench accepted this finding.

- 13. It may be noted that the first part of sub-rule 13(5) of the Central Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1955 says that no Government servant shall borrow money from or otherwise place himself under pecuniary obligation to any person within the local limits of his authority, save in the ordinary course of business with a Bank or a firm of standing. The second part of the sub-rule forbids him from borrowing money from any other person with whom he is likely to have official dealings. The appellant at no time had a case that the respondent contravened the first part of the sub-rule in borrowing the amount from Nand Kumar. So neither the learned Single Judge nor the Division Bench had occasion to consider the application of the first part of the sub-rule to the facts of the case. Even in the Special Leave Petition the appellant did not rely on the first part of the sub-rule. We do not, therefore, think it necessary to consider the scope of the first part of the sub rule or its application to the case here.
- 14. A finding cannot be characterized as perverse or unsupported by any relevant materials if it is reasonable inference from proved facts. Now what are the proved facts: Nand Kumar as representative of M/s Ram Sarup Mam Chand and M/s. Mam Chand and Company of Calcutta filed five applications for licenses to set up steel re-rolling mills on 14.6.1956, a cheque drawn in favour of P.S. Sundaram was given to the respondent by Nand Kumar for Rs.2,500 the cheque was endorsed and the amount credited in the account of the respondent. When the respondent borrowed the amount in question from Nand Kumar, he was not working in the Industries Act Section. Nand Kumar knew that the respondent was working in the Steel & Cement Section of the Ministry and applications for the grant of licenses for setting up the steel re-rolling mills would go to the Section. Even if the applications were to be dealt with at the initial stage by the Industries Act Section the respondent at least was expected to know that in due course the Section in which he was working had to deal with the same. This is borne out by the fact that in July, 1956 copies of the applications were actually sent to the Steel & Cement Section where the respondent was working. If he, therefore, borrowed money from Nand Kumar a few days earlier it seems rather clear that he placed himself under pecuniary obligation to a person who was likely to have "official dealings", take within the ambit the possibility of further dealings between the officer concerned and the person from whom he borrowed money. A disciplinary proceedings is not a criminal trial. The standard of proof required is that of preponderance of probability and not proof beyond reasonable doubt. If the inference that Nand Kumar was a person likely to have official dealing with the respondent was one which a reasonable person would draw from the proved facts of the case, the High Court cannot sit as a court of appeal over a decision based on it. Where there are some relevant materials which the authority has accepted and which material may reasonably support the conclusion that the officer is guilty, it is not the function of the High Court exercising its jurisdiction under Article 226 to review the materials and to arrive at an independent finding on the materials if the enquiry has been properly held. The question of adequacy or reliability of the evidence cannot be canvassed before the High Court [see State of Andhra Pradesh Vs. Sree Rama Rao (4)]. No doubt there was no separate finding on the question whether Nand Kumar was a person likely to have official dealings with the respondent by the Inquiring Officer or the President. But we think that such a finding was implied when they said that Charge No. 3 has been proved. The only question was whether the proved facts of the case would warrant such an inference. Tested in the light of the standard of proof necessary to enter a finding of this nature, we are satisfied that on the material facts proved, the inference and the implied finding that Nand Kumar was a person likely to have official dealings with the respondent were reasonable.

15. The Division Bench said that the conclusion of the Single Judge that there was no evidence before the Inquiring Officer that Nand Kumar was likely to have officials dealings with the respondent was not wholly unwarranted, and as there are limits to the power exercised by a Single Judge, under Article 226 of the Constitution, there are limits to the powers of a Division Bench while sitting in appeal over the judgement of a Single Judge. If the inference that Nand Kumar was a person likely to have officials dealings with the respondent was in the circumstances of the proved facts in the case a reasonable one, we do not think there was anything which prevented the Division Bench from interfering with the order of the Single Judge. In Jugal Kishore Bhadani Vs. Union of India (5), the Court observed:—

"It is well established principle of law that, unless the statute otherwise provides, an appellate Court has the same power of dealing with all questions, either of fact or of law, arising in the appeal before it, as that of the Court whose Judgement is the subject of scrutiny in the appeal".

- 16. The respondent contended that he did not borrow Rs.2,500/- from Nand Kumar. His case was that Nand Kumar owned him Rs.500/- and that when he gave the cheque to the respondent it was on the undertaking that Rs.2,000/- would be repaid to him and that was done immediately. The respondent produced a receipt executed from Nand Kumar for having received Rs.2,000/- but Nand Kumar was not examined to prove the genuineness of the receipt. The Inquiry Officer has considered the question at length in his report and he came to the conclusion that the case of respondent that he did not borrow the amount of Rs.2,500/- from Nand Kumar cannot be accepted. The learned Single Judge found that the petitioner had borrowed the amount of Rs.2,500/- from Nand Kumar. That finding was endorsed by the Division Bench. As it was a reasonable inference from materials before the Inquiring Officer that Nand Kumar was a person likely to have official dealings with the respondent and since the respondent borrowed money from such a person without the permission of Government, the finding of the Inquiring Officer and the President that the respondent had contravened Rule 13(5) of the Central Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1955 should not have been interfered with by the High Court.
- 17. It may be recalled that the punishment of compulsory retirement was imposed upon the respondent on the basis that all the three charges had been proved against him. Now, it is found that only the third charge has been proved. The question then is whether the punishment of compulsory retirement imposed by the President can be sustained even though the first two charges have not been proved.
- 18. Now it is settled by the decision of this Court in State of Orissa Vs. Vidyabhushan Mahapatra (6) that if the order of punishing authority can be supported on any finding as to substantial misdemeanour for which the punishment can be imposed, it is not for the Court to consider whether the charge proved alone would have weighed with the authority in imposing the punishment. The Court is not concerned to decide whether the punishment imposed is justified by the rules, is appropriate having regard to the misdemeanour established.
- 19. We reverse the judgement under appeal and hold that the order of the President imposing the punishment of compulsory retirement was not liable to be quashed.
- 20. In the result, the appeal is allowed, but in the circumstances, there will be no order as to costs.

Appeal allowed.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA'S ORDERS UNDER RULE 3

1. In the event of suspension of a moS, Government of India may be communicated telephonically immediately and the facts communicated within 15 days: - As soon as a member of the Service is placed under suspension or is deemed to have been placed under suspension, the fact may be communicated to this Department telegraphically and a detailed report of the case may be furnished within 15 days of the date of suspension, as provided for in the rules.

[D.P. & A.R. letter No. 11018/1/76—AIS (III), dated 11-2-1976.]

- 2. Appeal/memorial submitted by a suspended moS should be forwarded to the Central Government within one week of receipt by the State Governments: Whenever, a member of an All India Service who is placed under suspension, submits an appeal or memorial against the order of the State Government placing him under suspension, the same should be forwarded to the Central Government by the State Government together with their comments within one week of its receipt. If the original appeal or memorial along with the comments of the State Government is not received by the Central Government within that period, the Central Government would take a decision on the advance copy of the appeal or memorial received by them.
- 2. The original appeal or memorial submitted by a member of the Service referred to above and the report about the orders issued by the State Government placing a member of the Service under suspension, as envisaged in this Department's letter of even number dated the 11th February, 1976, may be forwarded to this Department in the case of members of the Indian Administrative Service, to the Ministry of Home Affairs in the case of the Indian Police Service and to the ⁸⁴Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation (Department of Agriculture) in the case of the Indian Forest Service.

[D.P. & A.R. letter No. 11018/1/76—AIS (III), dated 30-4-1976.]

3. Further inquiry should not be ordered in cases where the order of dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement from Service is set aside by a court of law except where it is set aside on technical ground by the court:- The scope of the action that can be taken against a member of the Service whose dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement from Service has been set aside or declared or rendered void in consequence of or by a decision of a court of law under sub-rule (6) of Rule 3 and the circumstances which a disciplinary authority should take into account while taking recourse to this rule have been examined and it is clarified for the information of State Governments that further inquiry contemplated in sub-rule (6) of rule 3 of the All India Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1969 should not be ordered except in case when the penalty of dismissal removal or compulsory retirement has been set aside by a Court of Law on technical grounds without going into the merits of the case or when fresh material has come to light which was not before the Court. A further inquiry into the charges which have not been examined by the Court, can however, be ordered by the inquiring authorities under sub-rule (6) of rule 3 ibid depending on the facts and circumstances of each case.

[D.P. & A.R. letter No. 11018/8/78—AIS (III), dated 19-5-1978.]

_

⁸⁴ May be read as Ministry of Environment & Forests in the present context.

4. **Doubts on interpretation of any provision of these rules shall be referred to the Central Government:** - Where a doubts arised as to the interpretation of any of the provisions of these rules, the matter shall be referred to the Central Government for its decision.

[No. 7/15/63 dt. 20/3/69 GSR No. 926 dt. 12/4/69]

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA'S ORDERS UNDER RULE 4

- 1. The amount of subsistence allowance should be varied by the suspending authority after recording the reasons in writing: -The Government of India have decided that:
 - (i) the amount of subsistence allowance once granted should be varied by the suspending authority under the first proviso to this rule only after recording in writing the reasons for increasing or decreasing the amount;
 - (ii) a member shall not be entitled to compensatory allowance of which he was in receipt prior to suspension unless the suspending authority is satisfied that he continues to meet the expenditure for which they were granted.
- [G.I., M.H.A. Letter No. 13/7/58—AIS (III), dated 18th October, 1958, read with No. 7/20/59—AIS (II), dated the 17th November, 1959.]
- 2. **Deductions from the subsistence allowance:** Government of India have decided that the following deductions should be enforced from subsistence allowance:—
 - (i) Income tax and super tax (provided the member's annual income calculated with reference to subsistence allowance is taxable).
 - (ii) House rent and allied charges i.e. electricity, water furniture etc.
 - (iii) Repayment of loans and advances taken from Government at such rates as the competent authority deems it right to fix.
- 2. The following deductions should not be made except with a member's written consent letter—
 - (a) Premium due on Postal Life Assurance Policies.
 - (b) Amounts due to Co-operative Stores and Co-operative Credit Societies.
 - (c) Refund of Advances taken from General Provident Fund.
- 3. The following deductions should not be made from subsistence allowances:—
 - (i) Subscription to the All India Services Provident Fund.
 - (ii) Amounts due on Court attachments.
 - (iii) Recovery of loss to Government for which a member is responsible.
- 4. There is no bar to the recovery of overpayments from subsistence allowance but the competent authority will exercise discretion in deciding whether recovery should be held wholly in abeyance during the period of suspension or it should be effected at full or reduced rate depending on the circumstances of each case.

[G.I., M.H.A. letter No. 7/18/59—AIS (II), dated 21st October, 1959]

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA'S ORDERS UNDER RULE 6

1. Formal inquiry is not necessary in case of termination of probation, but if it is under rule 11(2), an opportunity should be given to the probationer: - Termination of employment of a probationer during or at the end of the period of probation in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Indian Administrative Service/Indian Police Service (Probation) Rules, 1954, does not amount to removal or dismissal within the meaning of these rules and hence a formal inquiry in accordance with the procedure laid down in rule 5 is not necessary in such cases. If, however, a probationer is removed or dismissed on disciplinary grounds mentioned in rule 11(2) of Probation Rules he should be given an opportunity to show cause against the action proposed to be taken against him.

(G.I., MHA letter No. 414/4/58—Estt.(A), dt. 14.10.58)

2. *IPS* officers appointed against promotion quota may be reduced to a supernumerary direct recruitment post in the Junior Scale: - A question arose whether a member, who was appointed substantively to the Indian Police Service in the senior scale against the promotion quota, could be reduced to the rank of Astt. Superintendent of police (a rank which he never held in his service) or whether it was necessary to reduce to the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police (State Police Service) which he held before appointment to the Indian Police Service. Reduction in rank includes reduction to a lower post or to a lower time-scale. A member appointed to the Indian Police Service against the promotion quota could, therefore, be reduced to the Junior Scale of the Indian Police service. On reduction to the Junior Scale, he would be shown against a supernumerary direct recruitment post in the Junior Scale like a State Police Officer appointed to the Junior Scale under the Special Recruitment Scheme. His pay and seniority, on reversion, would be regulated in accordance with the principles applicable to a State Police Service Officer appointed in the Junior Scale under the Special Recruitment Scheme.

(G.I. MHA letter No./ 9/7/58—AIS(II), dated 30.10.58)

- 3. Copy of the warning/displeasure/reprimand referred to in the Confidential Report should be placed in the ACR dossier as an annexure to the Confidential Report for the relevant period: The instructions contained in the Ministry of Home Affairs letter No. 7/4/59—AIS(II) dated the 20th March, 1959 and letter No.7/5/60—AIS(II), dated the 4th May, 1960 have been reviewed and the following clarifications are given:—
 - (i) It has been stated in the MHA Letter No.4/7/60—AIS(II), dated 4th May, 1960 that if it is decided, on the conclusion of disciplinary proceedings not to impose any of the prescribed punishments but to administer a warning or reprimands, mention of it should be made in the CR. The Delhi High Court in the case of Sh. Nadhan Singh Vs. the Union of India expressed the view that warning kept in the CR dossier has all the attributes of 'Censure' which is a formal punishment and which can only be awarded by the competent authority after following the procedure prescribed in the relevant disciplinary Rules. It has, therefore, been decided that where it is considered, after the conclusion of the disciplinary proceedings, that some blame attaches to the officer concerned which necessitates cognizance of such fact, the disciplinary authority should award one of the recognized statutory penalties. If the intention of the disciplinary authority is not to award the penalty of censure, then no recordable warning or reprimand should be awarded.

(ii) In the Ministry of Home Affairs letter No/ 7/4/59—AIS(II) of the 20th March, 1959, it is stated that there may be occasions when a superior officer may find it necessary to criticise adversely the work of an officer working under him, and he may feel that while the matter is not serious enough to justify the imposition of a formal punishment, it calls for some informal action such as communication of a written warning, admonition or reprimand. It has now been decided that where such a warning/displeasure/reprimand is issued, it should be placed in the personal file of the officer concerned. At the end of the year (or period of report), the reporting authority while writing the confidential report of the officer, may decide not make a reference in the confidential report to the warning/displeasure/reprimand, if in the opinion of that authority, the performance of the officer reported upon after the issue of the warning or displeasure or reprimand, as the case may be, has improved and has been found satisfactory. If, however, the reporting authority comes to the conclusion that despite the warning/displeasure/reprimand the officer has not improved, it may make appropriate mention warning/displeasure/reprimand, as the case may be, in the relevant column in Part II of the ACR form prescribed under the All India Services copy of the (Confidential Rolls) Rules, and in that case warning/displeasure/reprimand referred to in the Confidential Report should be placed in the ACR dossier as an annexure to the Confidential Report for the relevant period. The adverse remarks should also be conveyed to the officer and his representation, if any, against the same disposed of in accordance with the procedure laid down in the rules.

(DP&AR letter No. 11018/5/79—AIS(III) dt. 3.4.1981)

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA'S ORDERS UNDER RULE 8

- 1. Whenever a member desires to be heard in person, a Board of Inquiry or an Inquiry Officer will have to be appointed: The hearing in person, referred to in subrule (3), is really in the course of the inquiry to follow. Member of the Service has the option to say that the inquiry may proceed on the strength of the written statement filed by him and he does not wish to participate in person in the inquiry.
- 2. It is not necessary to hear the member concerned in person before the inquiry starts. It is sufficient if an opportunity of personal hearing is given to him in the course of the inquiry. If, however, the Government propose to inquire into the charges in such manner as they deem fit (and not by a Board of an Inquiry or Inquiry Officer) and the member desires to be heard in person, Government will have to appoint an inquiring authority as required by sub-rule (6). In other words, whenever a member desires to be heard in person, a Board of Inquiry or an Inquiry Officer will have to be appointed. Government can inquire into charges in such manner as they deem fit, only in cases where the member does not wish to be heard in person.

[G.I., M.H.A. letter No. 7/7/59—AIS (III), dated 11-5-1959]

2. The mention of more than one punishment in the show cause notice, does not violate the provisions of article 311(2) of the Constitution: - The Supreme Court has held in an appeal filed before them that the mention of more than one punishment in the show cause notice, does not violate the provisions of article 311(2) of the Constitution. On the contrary, it gives the Government servant a better opportunity to show cause against each of the punishments, proposed to be inflicted on him, which he would not

have had, if only the severest punishment had been mentioned and a lesser punishment, not mentioned in the notice, had been inflicted on him.

[Hukum Chand Malhotra Vs. Un of India—G.I., M.H.A. F.No.7/6/59—AIS(I)]

- (3) [Deleted vide letter No. 11018/4/79—AIS(III), dated 26—6—79]
- 3. Powers to drop the charges after the consideration of the written statement of defence by the accused member of the Service lies with the disciplinary authority. However, consultation with CBI, CVC. State Vigilance Commission, Anti Corruption Department etc should be made if the case in initiated at their insistence: A question has been under consideration of this Department whether rule 8(6)(a) of the All India Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1969, which is analogous to Rule 14(5)(a) of the Central Services (CCA) Rules, 1965, permits the dropping of charges by the disciplinary authority after considering the written statement of defence submitted by the accused member of an All India Service under the aforesaid rules. The question has been considered in consultation with the Ministry of Law and the position in respect of the AIS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1969 is clarified as under:—
 - (a) The disciplinary authority has the inherent power to review and modify articles of charge or drop some of the charges or all the charges after the receipt and examination of the written statement of defence submitted by the accused member of an All India Service under Rule 8(6) of the AIS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1969;
 - (b) The disciplinary authority is not bound to appoint an Enquiry Officer for conducting an enquiry into the charges which are not admitted by the accused member of the Service but about which the disciplinary authority is satisfied on the basis of the written statement of defence that there is no further cause to proceed with.
- 2. It may, however be noted that the exercise of the powers to drop the charges after the consideration of the written statement of defence by the accused member of the Service will be subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) In cases arising out of the investigation by the Central Bureau of Investigation, the CBI should be consulted before a decision is taken to drop any of, or all, the charges on the basis of the written statement of defence submitted by the accused member of the Service. The reasons recorded by the disciplinary authority for dropping the charges should also be intimated to the Central Bureau of Investigation.
 - (b) The Central Vigilance Commission/State Vigilance Commission/ Anti Corruption Deptt., as the case may be, should be consulted where the disciplinary proceedings were initiated on the advice of any of these bodies and the intention is to drop the proceedings altogether, as distinct from dropping or reviewing or modifying some charges.

[D P. & A R, letter No.11018/8/81—AIS(III), dated the 25.11.1981.]

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA'S ORDERS UNDER RULE 9

1. If any act of omission renders a moS liable of any penalty except dismissal, removal and compulsory retirement, the State Government can directly make a reference to UPSC for the quantum of penalty, which in turn, communicate the

same directly under intimation to the respective Cadre Controlling Authorities of the Central Government: - When a member is adjudged guilty of committing any act or omission which renders him liable to any of the penalties specified in rule 3 other than dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement, the State Government under whom he was serving at the time of such act or omission, shall make a reference direct to the Union Public Service Commission for their advice as to the quantum of penalty to be imposed on him. The Commission would communicate their advice direct to the State Government concerned under intimation to the ⁸⁵Department of Personnel and A R in the case of the IAS, Ministry of Home Affairs in the case of IPS and the ⁸⁶Department of Agriculture in the case of IFS. The State Government should endorse copies of their final orders to the Commission and the ⁸⁷Ministry of Home Affairs. If, however, the State Government does not accept the advice of the Commission in any case, they will have to make a reference to the Government of India in accordance with the proviso to rule 6.

2. Cases referred to the Commission and the Government of India should be complete in all respects. All the documents in connection with the case should invariably forwarded be in original.

[G.I., M.H.A. letter No. 7/1/59—AIS(II), dated 9th June, 1959 read with letter No. 73/60—AIS(II), dated 17th March, 1960.]

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA'S ORDERS UNDER RULE 16

1. IPS Officers on deputation to the Government of India or their Heads of Departments, should not enter into direct correspondence with the State Government or the Inspector General of Police concerned on matters relating to service conditions: - IPS Officers serving with the Government of India on deputation or their Heads of Departments, should not enter into direct correspondence with the State Government or the Inspector General of Police concerned on matters relating to service conditions. The correspondence in this regard should invariably be between the borrowing and the lending Government. Representations from such officer are, therefore, to be routed through the Ministry of Home Affairs and not sent to the State Government or the Inspector General of Police of the State.

[G.I MHA letter No. 13/4/61—P(V) dated 5th May,1961.]

326

⁸⁵ To be read as Department of Personnel & Training in the present context.

⁸⁶ To be read as Ministry of Environment & Forests in the present context.

⁸⁷ To be read as DP&T in the present context

3. Procedure for intimation of disciplinary proceedings against the officers of All India Services under the Government of India and organizations under the Government of India

GOI, DoPT O.M. No.11018/3/94-AIS.III dated 9th June, 1995

Rule 7 of the AIS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1969 stipulate the authority competent to institute proceedings and to impose penalty on members of the All India Services. The said rule vests this authority either with the State Government or with the Central Government depending upon the circumstances explained therein. Powers of the Central Government in respect of members of the Indian Administrative Service while they are on deputation with the Central Government are exercised by the Department of Personnel & Training. In respect of Indian Police Service and Indian Forest Service these powers are exercised by the Ministry of Home Affairs and Ministry of Environment & Forests respectively.

- 2. With a view to avoiding delay in the processing of cases in respect of members of All India Service pertaining to the period of their central deputation the following procedure may be followed while forwarding such cases to the cadre controlling authority, namely Department of Personnel & Training / MHA/ Ministry of Environment & Forests, as the case may be:-
 - i) Any proposal to place an officer under suspension should be sent to the cadre controlling Department only with the approval of the Minister in charge of the Department/ Ministry administratively concerned.
 - ii) Any proposal to initiate disciplinary proceedings should be forwarded only after a decision has been taken at the level of the Minister-in-Charge of the Department/ Ministry after obtaining the preliminary explanation of the officer and after considering the same. In cases having a vigilance angle, the administrative Ministries/ Departments are also required to consult the Central Vigilance Commission and obtain its first stage advice before submitting the papers to the Minister.
 - iii) If an officer is transferred to another Ministry/ Department, the Ministry concerned where the alleged misconduct was committed by the officer will have to take a view on the case in the light of the facts of the case and the explanations of the concerned officer at the level of the Minister before forwarding the case records for further necessary action. They must also give intimation in this regard to the Ministry where the officer may be working for the time being.
 - iv) Where it is proposed to initiate disciplinary proceedings the proposal shall always be accompanied by a draft charge sheet along with imputations of misconduct and two sets of certified list of documents.
 - v) In cases where it is decided not to formally proceed against an officer but only to convey a caution/ warning/ displeasure of the Government, this will be communicated to the officer by the Ministry/ Department concerned, through the administrative Ministry where he may be working at that time and two copies of the same shall be endorsed to the respective controlling Department for record.
 - vi) In a case where there is no full fledged investigation by the CBI and where formal action for major penalty is instituted by the concerned cadre controlling Ministry

- after due consideration of a proposal received from the administrative Ministry or otherwise, the administrative Ministry shall also nominate an officer who is well versed with the facts of the case for being appointed as the Presenting Officer.
- vii) All communications meant for the officers proceeded against would be served through the Ministry/ Department where the officer is working for the time being.
- 3. It may be ensured that the above procedure is followed while referring cases of members of the All India Services to the cadre controlling Ministries, namely, Department of Personnel & Training, Ministry of Home Affairs and Ministry of Environment and Forests, for initiation of Disciplinary proceedings under the AIS (D&A) Rules.

Subject: AIS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1969 – Procedure regarding imposition of penalty in the event of a death of the accused member of Service-clarification regarding.

Sir,

I am directed to invite attention to this Department's letter No. 11018/1/1999-AIS-III, dated the 14th May, 1999, which provides that where a member of an all India Service dies during the pendency of inquiry, i.e. without charges being proved against him, imposition of any of the penalties prescribed under the All India Service (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1969, would not be justifiable. Therefore, disciplinary proceedings should be closed immediately on the death of the alleged member of the Service.

- 2. A clarification has been sought about the action to be taken in cases where the member of the Service died after the charges were proved against him and the penalty to be imposed was also decided, but no final order was passed for imposition of the penalty by the disciplinary authority.
- 3. The Disciplinary proceedings come to an end only after passing of the final orders by the Disciplinary Authority. Therefore, if a member of a Service dies before the passing of the final order by the Disciplinary Authority the disciplinary proceedings should be closed without imposing any penalty on him.